"Behavioral Biases in Investment Decision-Making: A Case Study Analysis of Investor Overreaction in Financial Markets with particular reference to Bengaluru City"

Mr. Varun Dongre,
[1]Research Scholar; Assistant Professor
[1] Department of Management
[1]Srinivas University Mangalore; Dr. NSAM First Grade College Bengaluru.

Abstract - This research seeks to investigate and understand investor overreaction in financial markets, paying particular attention to behavioral biases that prompt such reactions. Employing a case study approach, this investigation will focus on specific instances where investors have shown extreme reactions to market news or events, or economic indicators; patterns, triggers, and consequences related to investor overreaction will be identified through comprehensive data analysis and behavioral finance frameworks; findings should provide valuable insights into psychological factors impacting investment decisions as well as help formulate more informed and rational investment strategies.

Index Terms - Behavioral finance, Investment decision-making, Investor psychology, Cognitive biases, Overreaction, Financial markets

Introduction : Bengaluru, known as India's "Silicon Valley," thrives with technological innovation and entrepreneurial spirit, drawing individuals searching for lucrative returns on their investments to its financial markets. But behind all the charts and algorithms lies human behavior; this study investigates this realm of behavioral biases to assess how investor overreaction impacts decision-making processes and financial outcomes within its context in Bengaluru.

If news of a tech giant from Bengaluru making a breakthrough discovery sends its stock soaring, with investors eager to buy into its discovery, driving its price above its intrinsic value. However, once reality sets in and hype subsides, investors hold onto assets priced too highly, resulting in overreaction. Feelings cloud judgment on this emotional and cognitive bias, leading to decisions that deviate from rational analysis.

Overreaction is not just a fad; it's an extensive behavioral bias across financial markets worldwide. Studies have illustrated how overreaction can distort market prices, contribute to bubbles and crashes, harm investor returns, and compromise overall returns for investors. Understanding how this phenomenon manifests in locations like Bengaluru - an emerging financial hub with unique cultural and technological influences - becomes essential to informed decision-making and market stability.

This case study seeks to highlight:

Prevalence of Overreaction in Bengaluru Investors: How prevalent is overreaction among Bengaluru investors, and what factors contribute to it?

Market Segments Affected: Certain asset classes or strategies are more susceptible to overreacting in fundamental markets in Bengaluru.

Consequences of Overreaction: Measuring the Effects of Overreaction on Investor Returns and Overall Market Efficiency.

Mitigating Bias: Establishing strategies and interventions that can assist investors in reducing overreaction while making rational decisions.

By delving deep into Bengaluru investors' psychology, this study seeks to bridge the gap between theoretical models of behavioral finance and their application in an emerging market context. Through rigorous analysis and insightful interpretation, this endeavor hopes to arm investors, regulators, and market participants with actionable knowledge that enables them to navigate Bengaluru's financial landscape more successfully.

Theoretical Framework

1. Behavioral Finance Theories:

Prospect Theory: Kahneman and Tversky's groundbreaking theory holds that investors tend to react more strongly when experiencing losses than gains, exhibiting asymmetric risk aversion. This results in investors holding onto losing investments too long while selling winning ones too quickly, potentially creating overreaction and overreaction.

Overconfidence Bias: Investors frequently overestimate their knowledge and skills, leading them to engage in excessive trading or chase risky investments that fuel unwarranted optimism. This can exacerbate market movements due to unrealistic expectations.

Herding Behaviour: Behavioral investing - or following the decision-making of others during periods of solid market sentiments - can lead to herd mentality and collective overreaction in tightly knit communities such as Bengaluru's tech ecosystem. This becomes especially pertinent during such times.

2. Cognitive Psychology Frameworks:

Availability Heuristic: Investors rely on readily available information and recent events for decision-making, leaving them susceptible to news headlines and sudden market fluctuations that could prompt hasty judgments and overreaction to temporary events.

Confirmation Bias: The tendency to seek and favor information that confirms existing beliefs can lead investors to ignore or downplay contradictory evidence. This can trap them in cycles of overreaction based on biased interpretations of market signals.

Mental Accounting: Investors frequently compartmentalize and categorize investments, leading to different risk tolerance levels and decision-making processes for each portfolio investment category. This may cause inconsistency or overreaction in certain parts of their portfolios.

Self-serving Bias is a cognitive bias where individuals attribute successes to themselves but failures to external factors. In investing, self-serving bias can cause investors to overestimate their skills, leading to suboptimal investment decisions.

Anchoring Bias: Investors may rely too heavily on the first piece of information they receive (the "anchor") when making decisions, even if it's irrelevant to the current situation.

3. Cultural and Socio-economic Factors:

Social Networks and Norms: Peers, family, and social circles can be crucial in shaping investment decisions. Within Bengaluru's entrepreneurial culture, peer pressure and shared narratives about specific sectors or companies may lead to herd mentality and collective overreaction.

Financial Literacy and Risk Perception: Varying levels of financial awareness and risk tolerance among different investor groups can lead to diverse responses to market movements. This suggests that overreaction might manifest differently among experienced investors compared to novices.

Objectives of the study:

1. To identify and comprehensively characterize the behavioral biases prevalent among investors in Bengaluru, focusing on overreaction tendencies.
2. To categorize and analyze the various psychological factors influencing investment decisions in the local financial markets.
3. To investigate the socio-economic, cultural, and demographic factors specific to Bengaluru that contribute to the manifestation of behavioral biases in investment decision-making**.**
4. To conduct in-depth case studies of specific instances of investor overreaction in Bengaluru's financial markets.
5. To develop practical recommendations and strategies to mitigate the impact of behavioral biases on investment decision-making in Bengaluru.

Research Hypothesis:

Ho: There is no statistically significant level of investor overreaction in the financial markets of Bengaluru city, and no distinct behavioral biases exist in investment decision-making.

H1: A significant level of investor overreaction exists in the financial markets of Bengaluru city, leading to distinct behavioral biases in investment decision-making."

Explanation:
Dependent Variable: Investor overreaction and behavioral biases play an integral part of investment decision-making.
Independent Variable: Financial markets in Bengaluru city.
Hypothesis Statement: This research highlights an evident presence of investor overreaction in Bengaluru city financial markets, leading to discernable behavioral biases among investors when making investment decisions.
Rationale: This hypothesis rests on the understanding that investor behavior in financial markets may not always be rational and may be affected by psychological influences that cause overreactions. Bengaluru city provides a specific case study setting; accordingly, behavioral biases among investors in this geographic location will likely become apparent over time**.**
Scope of the Study: This research is focused on analyzing and understanding patterns of investor overreaction in Bengaluru financial markets to identify specific behavioral biases present during investment decision-making processes.
Methodology: This research will utilize a case study analysis approach to collect and examine investor behavior, stock prices, and market trends in Bengaluru. Quantitative and qualitative methods will measure overreaction and identify behavioral biases within investor behavior.

Limitations of the Study:

1. This research could be affected by its sample population of investors; any differences may only partially represent some investor populations in Bengaluru.
2. Studies may only examine a one-time frame, leaving unobserved long-term trends or variations in investor behavior unexplored. Furthermore, behavioral biases can develop gradually; thus, an insufficient snapshot analysis may only notice these changes.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Madaan, G., & Singh, S. (2019): "An Analysis of Behavioral Biases in Investment Decision-Making" presents an in-depth review of literature regarding behavioral biases in investment decision-making. It summarizes findings from several studies such as those by Ma, Wang & Zhang (2017), Mello Souza Cajueiro Andrade (2010), Mertzanis Allam 2018 and Jensen (1978), among others. Specifically, anchoring, herding overconfidence disposition effect, and anchoring are discussed, shedding light on their impacts on investment decisions and gaps identified in the existing literature by studying their influence over investment decision-making.

Gyimah, P., & Boachie, W. K. (2020): The paper "Behavioral Finance and Investment Decision Making in West Africa: Evidence from Individual Investors in Ghana" explores the impact of behavioral biases on investment decisions made by individual investors from West Africa, particularly Ghana. The study focuses on four essential behavioral biases: belief bias, regret bias, the snakebite effect, and overconfidence bias. Quantitative techniques such as descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation coefficient analysis, and multiple linear regression were utilized for data collection from 120 respondents.

Ranaweera, S. S., & Kawshala, B. A. H. (2021): The paper “Influence of Behavioral Biases on Investment Decision Making with Moderating Role of Financial Literacy and Risk Attitude: A Study Based on Colombo Stock Exchange” analyzes the influence of overconfidence bias and herding bias on investment decision-making in the Colombo Stock Exchange, with a focus on the moderating role of financial literacy and risk-attitude. The study collected data through a structured questionnaire survey of 110 individual investors in the Colombo Stock Market and used multiple regression analysis to analyze the data. The findings suggest that overconfidence bias significantly influences investment decisions while herding bias does not. However, financial literacy and risk attitude did not significantly moderate the relationship between these biases and investment decisions. The paper recommends further research on other behavioral biases and the direct influence of financial literacy and risk attitude on financial decision-making.

Kumar, Satish & Goyal, Nisha. (2015): The paper titled "A Study of Effect of Behavioural Biases on Investment Decisions" explores the impact of cognitive and emotional biases on investor decision-making processes. The study uses primary and secondary data sources, including a questionnaire and research reports, to analyze the responses of 81 investors in Mumbai city. The study employs descriptive, inferential, and causal statistics to draw correlations between behavioral biases and investment decisions. The paper provides valuable insights for financial and risk analysts, as well as academics in the field of finance, and contributes to the growing body of literature on behavioral finance.

III. Data Analysis and Interpretation:

Testing of Reliability: Cronbach's alpha is a statistical measure that assesses the internal consistency reliability of items or questions in a survey or test. It ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values suggesting stronger internal cohesiveness. The study demonstrates Cronbach's Alpha coefficients of 0.721, 0.726, 0.714, 0.731, and 0.711 for the constructs of Overconfidence Bias, Confirmation Bias, Anchoring Bias, Availability Bias, and Recency Bias, respectively. These coefficients imply that the measurement scale used in the study is credible.

Table 1.1: Demographic profile of the respondents

Demographic Profile		No of Respondents	Percentage
Gender	Male	58	58%
	Female	28	28%
Age	20-30 Years	12	12%
	31-40 Years	52	52%
	41-50Years	24	24%
	51-60 Years	12	12%
	Above 60 Years	00	00%
Qualification	Undergraduate	8	8%
	Graduate	35	35%
	Postgraduate	46	46%
	Other	11	11%

Sourece: Field Survey **N=100**

Interpretation: The demographic data for a study on investment decision-making biases in Bengaluru city predominantly comprises male respondents (58%), with the majority falling within the 31-40 age bracket (52%). 46% of the educational background consists of postgraduate degrees. These demographic characteristics indicate that the study's results may accurately represent the habits of middle-aged and well-educated male and female investors.

Effect of Behavioural biases on investment decisions

Table 1.2: Overconfidence bias: Extent to which the investment decisions consistently outperform the market average:

Particulars	No of Responses	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	28	28%
Disagree	40	40%
Neutral	02	02%
Agree	28	28%
Strongly Agree	02	02%
Total	100	100

Source: Field Survey **N= 100**

Interpretation: The survey data indicates that most respondents (68%) do not believe their investment decisions consistently outperform the market average. Only 28% agree with the statement, while a minimal 2% are neutral and another 2% strongly agree. This suggests a general skepticism among the participants regarding their ability to beat the market through their investment choices.

Table 1.3: Herding Bias: The extent to which the actions and choices of other investors influence investment decisions:

Particulars	No of Responses	Percentage
Not Influenced	02	2%
Slightly Influenced	13	13%
Moderately Influenced	32	32%
Influenced	53	53%
Total	100	100

Source: Field Survey **N= 100**

The survey data reveals that the vast majority (85%) of respondents are influenced to some degree by the investment decisions of others, with only 2% claiming to be entirely uninfluenced. More than half (53%) feel influenced, and a sizable portion (32%) feel moderately influenced, pointing to herding bias as a notable factor in investment behavior among the participants.

Table 1.4: Loss Aversion Bias/ disposition effect: holding onto a losing investment in the hope that it will recover its value.

Particulars	No of Responses	Percentage
Very Unlikely	28	28%
Unlikely	21	21%
Neutral	02	02%
Likely	40	40%
Very Likely	09	09%
Total	100	100

Source: Field Survey **N=100**

Interpretation: In summary, the data suggests that while a combined 49% of participants are either unlikely or very unlikely to hold onto losing investments, a substantial 49% (40% likely + 9% very likely) are prone to loss aversion bias, highlighting a near-even split in the investment behavior of the survey group. This information can be insightful for financial advisors in understanding the psychological factors that may impact their clients' investment decisions.

Table 1.5: Anchoring Bias: The extent to which people are influenced by initial information or prices when making investment decisions.

Particulars	No of Responses	Percentage
Not Influenced	20	20%
Slightly Influenced	10	10%
Moderately Influenced	30	30%
Influenced	40	40%
Total	100	100

Source: Field Survey **N=100**

Interpretation: From the above data, anchoring bias impacts most investors' decision-making processes to various extents. While 20% of respondents are not influenced by initial information when making investment decisions, the remaining 80% are affected, with 10% slightly influenced, 30% moderately influenced, and the largest group, 40%, significantly influenced. This suggests that anchoring bias is a common factor in investment decision-making, potentially affecting the rationality of choices made by investors.

Table 1.6: Sunk Cost Fallacy /Cognitive Bias: Considering past losses or opportunities missed while making investment decisions.

Particulars	No of Responses	Percentage
Not at All	05	5%
Slightly	15	15%
Moderately	17	17%
Considerably	48	48%
Extremely	15	15%
Total	100	100

Source: Field Survey **N=100**

Interpretation: The data indicates that the sunk cost fallacy significantly affects investment decisions for most respondents. Only 5% of investors are entirely immune to this bias, 15% are slightly affected, and 17% are moderately influenced. The most notable finding is that past investments considerably influence 48% of respondents, and another 15% are highly influenced. This demonstrates that past losses heavily impact the investment decisions of many investors, which can lead to suboptimal decision-making. Overall, 80% of those surveyed are swayed by sunk costs to some degree, highlighting the pervasiveness of this cognitive bias in financial decision-making.

Table 1.7: Belief Bias: Trusting the firm's past performance while investing in the securities:

Particulars	No of Responses	Percentage
Not at All	15	15%
Slightly	05	05%
Moderately	17	17%
Considerably	51	51%
Extremely	12	12%
Total	100	100

Source: Field Survey **N= 100**

Interpretation: the survey data encapsulates the distribution of belief bias among investors regarding the reliance on a firm's historical performance when making securities investment decisions. The predominance of respondents (51%) exhibit a substantial degree of trust, indicating a prevalent belief in historical performance as a significant indicator of future returns. In contrast, a discernible segment (15%) displays no reliance on past performance, highlighting a degree of skepticism. The extremes of complete trust and minimal trust are less represented, suggesting a tendency among investors towards a balanced perspective on the predictive value of past performance. These findings indicate the varying degrees of belief bias that financial practitioners must consider when advising clients on investment strategies.

IV. Concluding Remark: In conclusion, the research on "Behavioural Biases in Investment Decision-Making" in Bengaluru reveals the substantial influence of psychological biases on investment behaviors. Fundamental biases include overconfidence, herding, loss aversion, anchoring, sunk cost fallacy, and belief bias, often leading to non-optimal financial decisions. To address these issues, it is recommended that investors be educated about these biases for better decision-making, adopt diverse investment strategies to mitigate bias impact, seek professional financial guidance, and conduct regular reviews of their investment portfolios to identify and correct bias-influenced decisions. These steps could enhance the rationality and effectiveness of investment choices. It is clear from the study that the null hypothesis is rejected, and the Alternate hypothesis is accepted.

V. REFERENCES

I. Madaan, G., & Singh, S. (2019). An Analysis of Behavioral Biases in Investment Decision-making. International Journal of Financial Research, 10(4), 55-67. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v10n4p55

II. Gyimah, P., & Boachie, W. K. (2020). Behavioural Finance and Investment Decision Making: Evidence from Individual Investors in West Africa. International Business Research, 13(11), 65-74. https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v13n11p65

III. Ranaweera, S. S., & Kawshala, B. A. H. (2021). Influence of Behavioral Biases on Investment Decision Making with Moderating Role of Financial Literacy and Risk Attitude: A Study Based on Colombo Stock Exchange. 12th International Conference on Business & Information ICBI, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka. ISSN 2465-6399 (pp. 080-092)

IV. Kumar, Satish & Goyal, Nisha. (2015). Behavioral biases in investment decision making – a systematic literature review. Qualitative Research in Financial Markets. 7. 88-108. 10.1108/QRFM-07-2014-0022

V. Bhaskaran, Narayanan & Dr.S.Suriyamurthi, & M.Arivazhagan,. (2012). INVESTORS BEHAVIOUR IN VARIOUS INVESTMENT AVENUES A STUDY. International Journal of Technology Marketing. 2. 164-189.