A survey on 3D printed Buildings

Theme: Technical Approaches In Resilient Industry And Infrastructure Building.

Author 1: Sarita Devi (Assistant Professor, School of Engineering and Sciences)

Author 2: Sanya Sharma (Bachelors of Computer Applications)

Author 3: Dipanshu (B. Tech Mechanical Engineering)

Author 4: **Radhika** (Bachelors of Computer Applications)

Author 5: Faizan (B. Tech Mechanical Engineering)

Author 6: Shekhar (Bachelors of Computer Applications)

Author 7: **Venkat Varma** (B.Tech Mechanical Engineering)

SDG Contribution (SDG Number/s with reason/s): Several Sustainable Developmental Goals (SDGs) may be supported by 3D-printed structures, including:

Affordable and clean energy (SDG 7): 3D-printed buildings can be designed to be energy efficient and reduce the amount of energy needed to heat or cool the structure.

Industry Innovation and Infrastructure (SDG 9): 3D-printed technology can enable the construction of buildings at a faster pace, with less waste, and with greater precision than traditional construction methods. This can lower costs and lead to an efficient utilization of the resources.

Sustainable cities and communities (SDG 11): 3D-printed buildings can be designed to be more sustainable, with features such as green roofs, rainwater harvesting systems, and efficient use of space.

Responsible consumption and production (SDG 12): The amount of waste generated and the use of materials during construction can be reused in the future.

Climate Action (SDG 13): By combining renewable energy sources and using materials with a smaller carbon footprint, 3D-printed structures can aid in lowering greenhouse gas emissions.

Abstract: This research paper explores the potential of 3D-printed technology for building construction. The need for affordable and sustainable housing has grown as a result of population growth and urbanization. Building construction can now be done more quickly, affordably, and sustainably thanks to 3D printing. The current status of 3D-printed structures from different parts of the world is shown, illustrating the range of uses and potential for further advancement. The report also analyses the benefits and problems associated with applying 3D printing technology to the construction sector, including scalability, regulatory and legal issues, and the requirement for specialized labor. The final section of the study offers an in-depth examination of the present state and promise of 3D-printed technology for building construction, providing suggestions and insights for academics, decision-makers, and business experts interested in the rapidly developing area.

Keywords: 3D printing technology, Laser-Induced Forward Transfer, Frameworks, Additive manufacturing, Sustainable building, Mass Customization, Building Performance.

1. INTRODUCTION:

3D-printed buildings, also known as additive manufacturing, are structures that are created using a 3D printer. This technology has been around for several decades and has been used to create a wide range of objects, from simple toys to complex mechanical parts. In recent years, however, 3D printing technology has advanced to the point where it can be used to create entire buildings. The process of 3D printing a building begins with the creation of a detailed 3D model of the structure. This model is then used to guide the 3D printer, which deposits layers of material, such as concrete or plastic, to create the physical structure. This allows for the creation of complex and custom-designed buildings that would be difficult or impossible to create using traditional construction methods. One of the key benefits of 3D-printed buildings is their potential for cost savings. Since the process is largely automated, it can be faster and more efficient than traditional construction methods. This can lead to lower labor costs and shorter construction times. Additionally, 3D printing allows for the creation of complex and custom designs that would be difficult or impossible to achieve using traditional methods, which can further reduce costs. Another advantage of 3D-printed buildings is their potential for sustainability. Many 3D printing materials, such as concrete, are made from recycled materials, which can reduce the environmental impact of construction. The precision of 3D printing allows for the creation of structures with minimal waste, further reducing their environmental impact. Despite these advantages, there are still challenges to overcome in the development of 3D-printed buildings. One of the main challenges is the lack of standardized building codes and regulations for 3D-printed structures. This makes it difficult for developers to obtain the necessary permits and approvals to build 3D-printed buildings. The technology is still relatively new and there is limited data on the long-term durability and safety of 3D-printed buildings. Despite these challenges, 3D-printed buildings represent a promising and exciting development in the construction industry. As technology continues to advance and become more widely adopted, it has the potential to revolutionize the way we build and design our built environment.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW:

3D-printed buildings, also known as additive manufacturing, have been the subject of much research and discussion in recent years. This technology allows for the creation of complex and custom-designed buildings using a 3D printer, which deposits layers of material such as concrete or plastic, to create the physical structure. One of the key benefits of 3D-printed buildings is their potential for cost savings. Many studies have found that 3D-printed can be faster and more efficient than traditional construction methods, leading to lower labor costs and shorter construction times. Additionally, the ability to create complex and custom designs using 3D printing can further reduce costs. Another advantage of 3D-printed buildings is their potential for sustainability. Many 3D printing materials, such as concrete, are made from recycled materials, which can reduce the environmental impact of construction. Additionally, the precision of 3D printing allows for the creation of structures with minimal waste, further reducing their environmental impact.

Here is a detailed description of the consumption of 3D-printed technology over the years concerning India.

2.1. The year 2005-2010

Author name	Year	Methodology	Uniqueness
Y. Arayici and A. Hamilton	2005	- EDM - GPS - photogrammetric application - remote sensing applications	Modeling 3D scanned data to visualize the built environment
Y Zhang, Z Zhang, J Zhang, J Wu	2005	- texture mapping - digital photogrammetric techniques	3D Building Modelling with Digital Map, Lidar Data and Video Image Sequences
Roger-Bruno Richard	2005	- generating the geometry of the product from the performance criteria;	Industrialised building Systems: reproduction before automation

		- selecting a process that can simplify the materialisation - designing the product accordingly.	
St. H. Irsen, B. Leukers, Chr. Ho¨ ckling, C. Tille, H. Seitz,	2006	- Rapid prototyping technology - Laboratory scale - Fluidized bed granulator	Bioceramic Granulates for Use in 3D Printing: Process Engineering
Medha Dilip Joshi (Bhuskute), S. P. Dange*, A. N. Khalikar	2006	- Selective laser sintering - Fused deposition modelling - Multi-jet modelling - Stereolithography.	Rapid prototyping Technology in maxillofacial prosthodontics: Basics and applications
Christine Pasquire, Rupert Soar , Alistair Gibb.	2006	- Construction manufacturing - freeform construction - digital fabrication - rapid prototyping - automation.	THE POTENTIAL OF NEXT GENERATION TECHNOLOGIES TO MAKE A STEP CHANGE IN CONSTRUCTION MANUFACTURING
S. Kemec, S. Duzgun	2006	- vector layer - Texture mapping	3D Visualization for Urban Earthquake Risk
R.A. Buswell , R.C. Soar , A.G.F. Gibb , A. Thorpe	2007	- Automation technology - Additive process - Large scale digital fabrication - Mega scale rapid manufacturing	Freeform Construction: Mega-scale Rapid Manufacturing for construction
N. M. F. Alves · P. J. Bártolo	2007	- Computer based technologies - rapid prototyping - Haptic CAD systems	Virtual modelling through human vision sense
Wolf-Dieter Rase	2009	- rapid prototyping technology - aggregation - removal - transformation - laser subsurface engraving.	Visualization of three-dimensional GIS objects using rapid Prototyping technology
F. Ceccanti, E. Dini, X. De Kestelier, V. Colla, L. Pambaguian	2010	- space technology development - building scale - complex architectural design - robotics	3D PRINTING TECHNOLOGY FOR A MOON OUTPOST EXPLOITING LUNAR SOIL
Dr. Douglas Cawthorne, Mr. Steffan Davies	2010	- innovative techniques - complex 2d drawings - circular frieze - 3d relief	A 3D Print of the Choragic Monument of Lysicrates

Anoop Kumar Sood , R.K. Ohdar , S.S. Mahapatra	2010	- Fused deposition modelling - Fast growing rapid prototyping - Complex geometrical shape - Central composite design	Parametric Appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modelling processed parts

2.2. The year 2011-2015:

Author Name	Year	Methodology	Components/Uniqueness
Huinan Liu, Thomas J. Webster	2010	- Nano-sized ceramics (nano-titania) - Polymer (PLGA) - Controlled sonication - Nanocomposite - Cell-material interactions - Aerosol-based 3D printing - Osteoblast interactions - Scaffold.	Enhanced biological and mechanical properties of well-dispersed nanophase ceramics in polymer composites: From 2D to 3D-printed structures
Philippe , Lorenz LACHAUER2, Matthias RIPPMANN	2010	- 3D-printed structural models - Equilibrium - Masonry systems - Structural compression forms - Thrust Network Analysis (TNA) - Funicular networks	Spatial Structures – Permanent and Temporary
LARS HALLNÄS, ANNIKA HELLSTRÖM, HANNA LANDIN	2011	- Dynamic datasets - Complex datasets - Crafts-based techniques - Digital Fabrication - Design practice.	Crafts-based technique and digital fabrication are used which is a unique modern method
Jacob P. Moore and Christopher B. Williams	2012	- 3D printing - Multi-material interface - Objet Poly Jet 3D Printing - Cyclic loading - Microstructural analysis - Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	Design, Research, and Education for Additive Manufacturing Systems. The use of the Object Poly Jet 3D Printing process to print samples with both elastomeric and stiff polymers is a unique approach.
Hrushikesh C. Godbole	2012	- Color reproduction - Rapid prototyping	Characterization of color, gloss, and mechanical

		- Powder-based layer deposition - Selective binder delivery - Ink-jet print head - Full factorial experiment - Process parameters - Post-processing techniques - ZCorp Z510 3D printer	performance of 3D-printed structures The use of a ZCorp Z510 3D printer for the study is a unique aspect of the methodology.
Jordan B. Hochman	2013	- Rapid-prototyped anatomical models - Slicing algorithm - Digital deconstruction - Extraneous print material - Infiltrant penetration - Cadaveric sheep bone.	The use of a novel slicing algorithm to digitally deconstruct the model into segments, allowing for the removal of extraneous print material and infiltrant penetration of the entire bone structure, is a unique and innovative aspect of the methodology.
J.NAME	2013	- Microfluidics technology - Biological research - 3D printing - Microfluidic devices	The combination of these methods to address the limitations of current microfluidics technology and explore the potential of 3D printing is a unique and innovative approach to advancing the field of microfluidics.
Walter de Gruyter · Berlin · Boston	2013	- Chitosan - Hydroxyapatite - 3D printing - Scaffolds - Degradation rate - Optimized method	The use of an optimized 3D printing method to process the materials into scaffolds is a unique and innovative aspect of the methodology. 3D-printed Microfluidics for Biological Applications
Arghavan Farzadian, Vicknes Waranb, ET ALL	2014	- Powder-based 3D printing - X-direction printed scaffolds - SEM analysis - μCT analysis - CAD software-based designs	The use of SEM and μCT analyses to assess dimensional accuracy and proximity to CAD software-based designs with predefined macro-pore and strut sizes is a unique and rigorous aspect of the methodology.

Chee Meng Benjamin Ho,, Sum Huan Ng, and Yong-Jin Yoon	2015	- 3D printing - Bioimplants - Conference Proceedings - Information compilation.	The potential applications of 3D-printed bioimplants in various medical fields, including orthopedics, cardiovascular, and dental, is a unique and promising aspect of the methodology.
Peng Feng a, Xinmiao Meng a, Jian-Fei Chen b, Lieping Ye	2015	- 3D printing technology - Cementitious powder - Microscopic observation - Microstructure analysis - Finite element analysis - Shell structure.	The conduct of a finite element analysis for a 3D-printed shell structure is a unique and innovative aspect of the methodology.
Robert J. Morrison, M.D. 1, Khaled N. Kashlan, ET ALL	2015	- Bioresorbable implantable device - Regulatory challenges - Medical devices - Development - Regulatory approval - Insights.	The 3D-printed bioresorbable implantable device is a unique and practical approach to understanding the regulatory challenges associated with 3D printing medical devices.
Ho Nam Chan · Yangfan Chen · Yiwei Shu · Yin Chen · Qian Tian · Hongkai Wu	2015	- Soft-lithographic-based molding - Fabrication - 3D microfluidic channels - PDMS - Post-treatment conditions - 3D-printed resin structures - Replica molding - Novel method	The demonstration of a novel method for single-step molding from 3D-printed microstructures to generate truly 3D microfluidic networks easily is a unique and practical aspect of the methodology.
Wenzheng Wu, Peng Geng, Guiwei Li, Di Zhao, Haibo Zhang 2 and Ji Zhao 1,	2015	- Layer thicknesses - Raster angles - Polyether-ether-ketone (PEEK) - Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) parts.	The use of polyether-ether-ketone (PEEK) as the material for 3D printing is a unique and innovative aspect of the methodology, as PEEK is a high-performance thermoplastic that is rarely used in 3D printing.
M. Zenou1,2, A. Sa'ar2 & Z. Kotler	2015	- Laser Induced Forward Transfer (LIFT) printing - Metal micro-droplets - Jetting - Femto-liter droplets	The use of the Laser Induced Forward Transfer (LIFT) printing method to print metal micro-droplets directly from the bulk solid phase is a unique and innovative approach to additive manufacturing.

2.3. The year 2016 – 2022 (latest)

Author	Year	Methodology	Components/Uniqueness
Wu, P., Wang, J., & Wang, X.	2016	the potential of the technology is limited by the lack of large-scale implementation, the development of building information modeling, the requirements of mass customization, and the life cycle cost of the printed projects.	A critical review of the use of 3-D printing in the construction industry.
Hager, I., Golonka, A., & Putanowicz, R	2016	Two kinds of technologies were described in this paper pointing to Contour Crafting as a promising technique that may be able to revolutionize the construction industry shortly.	3D Printing of Buildings and Building Components as the Future of Sustainable Construction?
Tay, Y., Panda, B., Paul, S. C., Mohamed, N. A. N., Tan, M., & Leong, K. F.	2017	this paper gives a brief description of future work that can be done to improve both the capability and printing quality of the current systems.	3D printing trends in the building and construction industry: a review
Sakin, M. A., & Kiroglu, Y.	2017	reduction of costs and time, minimizing the pollution of the environment, and decrease of injuries and fatalities on construction sites could be listed.	3D Printing of Buildings: Construction of the Sustainable Houses of the Future by BIM
Paul, S. C., Tay, Y., Panda, B., & Tan, M.	2018	In this research, the 3D-printed specimens are collected in different orientations from large 3DCP objects and tested for mechanical properties.	Fresh and hardened properties of 3D printable cementitious materials for building and construction.
Pacewicz, K., Sobotka, A., & Gołek, Ł.	2018	components of printing mortars are made from ingredients easily accessible in the area nearby the construction site and can be reusable.	Characteristic of materials for the 3D-printed building constructions by additive printing.
Zhang, J., Wang, J., Dong, S., Yu, X., & Han, B.	2019	Additive manufacturing, also known as three-dimension printing (3DP), has the advantages of high building efficiency, low labor cost, and less construction waste compared to traditional construction technology.	A review of the current progress and application of 3D-printed concrete.
Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., She, W., Yang, L., Liu, G., & Yang, Y.	2019	This paper investigates the rheological and hardening properties of the high-thixotropy 3D printing concrete.	Rheological and hardening properties of the high-thixotropy 3D printing concrete.
Souza, M. J. B., Ferreira, I. M., De Moraes, E. G., Senff, L., & De Oliveira, A. C.	2020	The paper is organized into five main categories: the rheology of concrete for printing, the effect of chemical admixtures, the role of reinforcements and inclusions, printing parameters and solutions for large-scale printers, and an analysis of economic and environmental aspects.	3D-printed concrete for large-scale buildings: An overview of rheology, printing parameters, chemical admixtures, reinforcements, and economic and environmental prospects.
Alkhalidi, A., & Hatuqay, D.	2020	to study the effect of climatic conditions on the 3D-printed built environment, annual energy demand was estimated for each climatic zone.	Energy efficient 3D-printed buildings: Material and techniques selection worldwide study.

Han, Y., Yang, Z., Yang, Y., & Xiao, J.	2021	From the economic perspective, 3D printing concrete construction technology has significant advantages over traditional cast-in-situ concrete construction, saving the heavy cost of formwork and labor	Environmental and economic assessment on 3D-printed buildings with recycled concrete.
García-Alvarado, R., Moroni-Orellana, G., & Banda-Pérez, P.	2021	This review reveals the emerging development of this construction system with the progressive consolidation of some architectural attributes.	Architectural Evaluation of 3D-Printed Buildings.
Zhang, C., Zheng, H., Sun, J., Zhou, Y., Xu, W., Dai, Y., Mo, J., & Wang, Z.	2021	Although photocurable liquid-free ion-conducting elastomers can circumvent these limitations, the associated photocurable process is cumbersome and hence the printing quality is relatively poor.	3D-printed, Solid-State Conductive Ionoelastomer as a Generic Building Block for Tactile Applications.
Ebrahimi, M., Mohseni, M., Aslani, A., & Zahedi, R.	2022	The purpose of this study is to investigate the energy performance of a 3D-printed building that has used two types of cement, namely reactive magnesium oxide cement (RMC) and calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cement, in their concrete along with thermal insulation and phase change materials (PCMs).	Investigation of thermal performance and life-cycle assessment of a 3D-printed building.
Ren, C., Hua, D., Bai, Y., Wu, S., Yao, Y., & Wang, W.	2022	These results provided not only a sustainable mode for 3D printing construction materials and development but also an innovative strategy for the full utilization of industrial solid waste.	Preparation and 3D printing building application of sulfoaluminate cementitious material using industrial solid waste.
Kamel, E., & Kazemian, A.	2022	To this aim, multiple scenarios are studied to assess the workflow and measure energy savings. These scenarios include two climate zones in the U.S., normal and lightweight concrete, multiple wall configurations, and building layouts feasible with C3DP, such as curved wall designs.	BIM-integrated thermal analysis and building energy modeling in 3D-printed residential buildings.

3. RESEARCH GAP:

The field has to fill several research gaps despite the potential advantages of 3D-printed technology for building construction. Lack of standardization in 3D printing technology for building construction. The lack of standardization in 3D printing technology presents one significant obstacle, making it challenging to compare various technologies and evaluate their efficacy. Furthermore, there is still much to learn about the long-term performance and environmental resistance of 3D-printed structures and more research is required in this area. Cost-effectiveness is also a problem because further investigation is required to establish the technology's economic viability in various settings and at various scales. Building materials used in 3D printing need to have their sustainability, durability, and suitability for various uses further investigated.

Another issue is the absence of regulatory frameworks, which makes adoption difficult legally and practically. Therefore, more study is needed to assess the viability of large-scale commercial projects using 3D printing technology for building construction. To fully realize the potential of 3D-printed structures to alleviate the housing issue and provide more sustainable, cheap, and effective buildings, these research gaps must be filled.

Furthermore, there is also a lack of research on the potential economic and social impacts of 3D-printed buildings. While the technology has the potential to reduce costs and improve sustainability, there is limited data on the potential impact on the construction industry and the broader economy. Additionally, there is a lack of research on the potential social implications of 3D-printed buildings, such as their impact on the labor market and the availability of affordable housing. Overall, many research gaps in the field of 3D-printed buildings need to be addressed to fully understand and realize the potential of this technology. Further research is needed to develop standardized building codes and regulations, understand the long-term durability and safety of 3D-printed buildings, and explore the potential economic and social impacts of this technology.

4. OBJECTIVES:

The main objective of research on 3D-printed buildings is to fully understand and realize the potential of this technology. This includes exploring the benefits and challenges of using 3D printing technology in the construction industry, as well as identifying the potential applications and limitations of this technology. One specific objective of research on 3D-printed buildings is to develop standardized building codes and regulations for 3D-printed structures. This is necessary to ensure the safety and reliability of 3D-printed buildings, and to make it easier for developers to obtain the necessary permits and approvals to build these structures. Another objective of research on 3D-printed buildings is to understand the long-term durability and safety of these structures. This includes studying the performance of 3D-printed buildings over time, as well as the durability of the materials used in 3D printing. Additionally, research on 3D-printed buildings should also focus on identifying the potential economic and social impacts of this technology. This includes examining the potential cost savings and sustainability benefits of 3D-printed buildings, as well as the potential impact on the construction industry and the broader economy. Overall, the objectives of research on 3D-printed buildings should focus on fully understanding and realizing the potential of this technology, including its benefits, challenges, and potential applications. By addressing these objectives, researchers can help to advance the field of 3D-printed buildings and pave the way for more widespread adoption of this technology in the future

5. HYPOTHESIS:

One potential hypothesis for research on 3D-printed buildings is that this technology has the potential to reduce costs and improve sustainability in the construction industry. This hypothesis is based on the idea that 3D printing can be faster and more efficient than traditional construction methods, and that it allows for the creation of complex and custom designs that would be difficult or impossible to achieve using traditional methods. To test this hypothesis, researchers could conduct a study comparing the costs and sustainability benefits of 3D-printed buildings with those of traditional buildings. This could involve collecting data on the design, construction, and performance of both types of buildings, and comparing the results.

For example, researchers could compare the labor costs, construction times, and environmental impacts of 3D-printed buildings with those of traditional buildings. If the hypothesis is supported, this could have important implications for the construction industry. It could suggest that 3D printing technology has the potential to reduce costs and improve sustainability, which could encourage more widespread adoption of this technology. However, it is also important to consider potential challenges and limitations to the use of 3D printing in the construction industry. For example, there may be issues related to building codes and regulations, or concerns about the long-term durability and safety of 3D-printed buildings. These factors could potentially limit the adoption of 3D printing technology and would need to be addressed to fully realize its potential. Overall, the proposed hypothesis is that 3D-printed buildings have the potential to reduce costs and improve sustainability in the construction industry. Further research is needed to test this hypothesis and to understand the potential challenges and limitations of this technology.

6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

To fully understand and realize the potential of 3D-printed buildings, a range of research methods can be employed. This can include both qualitative and quantitative approaches, depending on the specific research question and objectives. One potential research methodology for studying 3D-printed buildings is to conduct a literature review. This involves reviewing and synthesizing existing research on the topic, to identify gaps and trends in the current knowledge base.

This can provide a foundation for further research and can help to identify areas where additional research is needed. Another potential research methodology is to conduct case studies of existing 3D-printed buildings. This can involve collecting data on the design, construction, and performance of these structures, to understand the practical applications and limitations of 3D printing technology. This can also provide valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with 3D-printed buildings.

Additionally, survey research can also be useful for studying 3D-printed buildings. This can involve collecting data from a sample of stakeholders, such as developers, architects, and construction workers, to understand their attitudes and experiences with 3D-printed buildings. This can provide valuable insights into the current state of the industry and can help to identify areas for further research and development. Overall, a variety of research methods can be employed in the study of 3D-printed buildings. By using a combination of approaches, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of this technology and can help to advance the field of 3D-printed buildings

7. FINDINGS/ RESULTS:

By conducting studies and analyses of 3D-printed buildings, researchers can identify the benefits and challenges of this technology and can help to identify potential applications and limitations. One potential contribution of this research is to develop standardized building codes and regulations for 3D-printed structures. This is necessary to ensure the safety and reliability of 3D-printed buildings, and to make it easier for developers to obtain the necessary permits and approvals to build these structures. By establishing clear and consistent guidelines, researchers can help to facilitate the adoption of 3D printing technology in the construction industry. Another contribution of this research could be to understand the long-term durability and safety of 3D-printed buildings. This could involve studying the performance of these structures over time, as well as the durability of the materials used in 3D printing. By providing data on the long-term performance of 3D-printed buildings, researchers can help to address concerns about the reliability and safety of this technology. Additionally, research on 3D-printed buildings could also contribute to the understanding of the potential economic and social impacts of this technology. This could include examining the potential cost savings and sustainability benefits of 3D-printed buildings, as well as the potential impact on the construction industry and the broader economy. By providing data on the potential benefits and challenges of 3D-printed buildings, researchers can help to inform decision-making and policy-making in this area. Overall, the proposed contribution of research on 3D-printed buildings is to advance the understanding and development of this technology, and to help facilitate its adoption in the construction industry. By conducting studies and analyses of 3D-printed buildings, researchers can provide valuable insights and data that can help to inform decision-making and policy-making in this area.

8. CONCLUSION/ DISCUSSION:

In conclusion, 3D printing technology has the potential to revolutionize the Indian building sector by providing a more affordable, environmentally friendly, and effective alternative to conventional construction techniques. Even though the technology is still relatively new, there have already been several successful 3D-printed building projects in India, including residences, educational institutions, and commercial structures. To progress the sector in India, however, many research gaps must be filled. These include the standardization of technology, durability, cost-effectiveness, materials, regulatory frameworks, and scalability. Given the nation's severe housing shortage, 3D printing technology in particular has the potential to significantly help the world achieve Sustainable Development Goal 11 by supplying millions of people with affordable housing.

As a result, there is a pressing need for additional study and funding in 3D printing technology for India's construction industry. In the end, 3D printing technology has the potential to revolutionize the Indian building industry and offer a viable and cost-effective answer to the nation's housing needs if these research gaps can be filled.

9. LIMITATIONS:

There are several limitations to the use of 3D-printed buildings. One of the main limitations is the lack of standardized building codes and regulations for 3D-printed structures. This makes it difficult for developers to obtain the necessary permits and approvals to build 3D-printed buildings and can limit the adoption of this technology. Another limitation of 3D-printed buildings is the lack of data on the long-term durability and safety of these structures. Since 3D printing technology is still relatively new, there is limited data on the performance of 3D-printed buildings over time. This lack of data can make it difficult to assess the reliability and safety of these structures and can make it challenging to obtain the necessary permits and approvals to build them. Furthermore, the technology itself is still developing and evolving, which can also be a limitation.

This means that the capabilities and limitations of 3D printing technology are still not fully understood, and can vary depending on the specific materials and processes used. As a result, there may be some limitations to the types of buildings that can be created using 3D printing technology, and there may be some limitations to the precision and complexity of the designs that can be achieved. Additionally, there may also be limitations related to the cost and availability of 3D printing technology.

While 3D printing has the potential to reduce costs in the construction industry, the technology itself can be expensive and complex, which can make it difficult for some developers to access and utilize. Additionally, the availability of 3D printing equipment and materials can vary, which can also be a limiting factor. Overall, there are several limitations to the use of 3D-printed buildings, including the lack of standardized building codes and regulations, the lack of data on long-term durability and safety, the evolving nature of the technology itself, and the potential cost and availability constraints. These limitations can make it challenging to fully realize the potential of 3D-printed buildings, and they will need to be addressed to facilitate the wider adoption of this technology in the construction industry.

10. REFERENCES/ BIBLIOGRAPHY

11. Modeling 3D scanned data to visualize the built environment. (2005). IEEE Conference Publication | IEEE Xplore. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/1509123

12. Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhang, J., & Wu, J. (2005). 3D Building Modelling with Digital Map, Lidar Data, and Video Image Sequences. Photogrammetric Record, 20(111), 285–302. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9730.2005.00316.x

13. Irsen, H., Leukers, B., Höckling, C., Tille, C. D., & Seitz, H. (2006). Bioceramic Granulates for use in 3D Printing: Process Engineering Aspects. Materialwissenschaft Und Werkstofftechnik, 37(6), 533–537. https://doi.org/10.1002/mawe.200600033

14. Joshi, M. D., Dange, S. P., & Khalikar, A. N. (2006). Rapid prototyping technology in maxillofacial prosthodontics: Basics and applications. The Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society, 6(4), 175. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-4052.30691

15. Kemec, S. (n.d.). 3D Visualization for Urban Earthquake Risk. ECI Digital Archives. https://dc.engconfintl.org/geohazards/37/

16. Buswell, R. A., Soar, R., Gibb, A. G., & Thorpe, A. (2007). Freeform Construction: Mega-scale Rapid Manufacturing for construction. Automation in Construction, 16(2), 224–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2006.05.002

17. Alves, N., & Da Silva Bartolo, P. J. (2007). Virtual modelling through human vision sense. International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (Ijidem), 1(4), 195–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-007-0024-2

18. Sood, A. K., Ohdar, R., & Mahapatra, S. S. (2010). Parametric appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modelling processed parts. Materials in Engineering, 31(1), 287–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2009.06.016

19. Shaikhnag, A. (2018, August 3). The Free Beginner's Guide - 3D Printing Industry. 3D Printing Industry. https://3dprintingindustry.com/3d-printing-basics-free-beginners-guide/technology

20. Klinc, R. (n.d.). ITC SciX.net library - Home. http://itc.scix.net/

21. Buncio, A. D., & Buncio, A. D. (2013). 3D Printing in the Construction Industry | VIATechnik. VIATechnik. https://www.viatechnik.com/blog/3d-printing-and-looming-changes-in-the-construction-industry

22. Amaro, R. E., & Webster, T. J. (2011). Enhanced biological and mechanical properties of well-dispersed nanophase ceramics in polymer composites: From 2D to 3D-printed structures. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 31(2), 77–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2010.07.013

23. Block, P., Lachauer, L., & Rippmann, M. (2010). Validating Thrust Network Analysis using 3D-printed, structural models. IASS 2010: Spatial Structures – Permanent and Temporary.

24. Institute for Computational Design and Construction | University of Stuttgart. (n.d.-d). Universität Stuttgart. https://sean.ahlquist@icd.uni-stuttgart.de/

25. Moore, J. P., & Williams, C. (2012). Fatigue Characterization of 3D-printed Elastomer Material. 23rd Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium - an Additive Manufacturing Conference, SFF 2012, 641–655.

26. Godbole, Hrushikesh, "Characterization of color, gloss and mechanical performance of 3D-printed structures" (2012). Thesis. Rochester Institute of Technology.

27. Hochman, J. B., Kraut, J., Kazmerik, K., & Unger, B. (2014). Generation of a 3D-printed Temporal Bone Model with Internal Fidelity and Validation of the Mechanical Construct. Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, 150(3), 448–454. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599813518008

28. Ho, C. K., Ng, S. H., Li, W. H. C., & Yoon, Y. (2015). 3D-printed microfluidics for biological applications. Lab on a Chip, 15(18), 3627–3637. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5lc00685f

29. Chavanne, P., Stevanovic, S., Wüthrich, A., Braissant, O., Pieles, U., Gruner, P., & Schumacher, R. (2013). 3D-printed chitosan / hydroxyapatite scaffolds for potential use in regenerative medicine. Biomedizinische Technik. https://doi.org/10.1515/bmt-2013-4069

30. Farzadi, A., Waran, V., Solati-Hashjin, M., Rahman, Z. a. A., Asadi, M., & Osman, N. a. A. (2015). Effect of layer printing delay on mechanical properties and dimensional accuracy of 3D-printed porous prototypes in bone tissue engineering. Ceramics International, 41(7), 8320–8330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.03.004

31. Ho, C. K., Ng, S. H., & Yoon, Y. (2015). A review on 3D-printed bioimplants. International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing, 16(5), 1035–1046. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12541-015-0134-x

32. Feng, P., Meng, X., Chen, J. F., & Ye, L. (2015). Mechanical properties of structures 3D-printed with cementitious powders. Construction and Building Materials, 93, 486–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.05.132

33. Morrison, R. B., Kashlan, K. N., Flanangan, C. L., Wright, J., Green, G. E., Hollister, S. J., & Weatherwax, K. J. (2015). Regulatory Considerations in the Design and Manufacturing of Implantable 3D-Printed Medical Devices. Clinical and Translational Science, 8(5), 594–600. https://doi.org/10.1111/cts.12315

34. Chan, H. F., Chen, Y., Shu, Y., Chen, Y., Tian, Q., & Wu, H. (2015). Direct, one-step molding of 3D-printed structures for convenient fabrication of truly 3D PDMS microfluidic chips. Microfluidics and Nanofluidics, 19(1), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10404-014-1542-4

35. Wenzheng, W., Geng, P., Li, G., Zhao, D., Zhang, H., & Zhao, J. (2015). Influence of Layer Thickness and Raster Angle on the Mechanical Properties of 3D-Printed PEEK and a Comparative Mechanical Study between PEEK and ABS. Materials, 8(9), 5834–5846. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma8095271

36. Zenou, M., Sa'ar, A., & Kotler, Z. (2015). Laser jetting of femto-liter metal droplets for high resolution 3D-printed structures. Scientific Reports, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17265

37. Wu, P., Wang, J., & Wang, X. (2016). A critical review of the use of 3-D printing in the construction industry. Automation in Construction, 68, 21–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2016.04.005

38. Hager, I., Golonka, A., & Putanowicz, R. (2016). 3D Printing of Buildings and Building Components as the Future of Sustainable Construction? Procedia Engineering, 151, 292–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.07.357

39. Tay, Y., Panda, B., Paul, S. C., Mohamed, N. a. N., Tan, M., & Leong, K. F. (2017). 3D printing trends in building and construction industry: a review. Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 12(3), 261–276. https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2017.1326724

40. Sakin, M. A., & Kiroglu, Y. (2017). 3D Printing of Buildings: Construction of the Sustainable Houses of the Future by BIM. Energy Procedia, 134, 702–711. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.562

41. Paul, S. C., Tay, Y., Panda, B., & Tan, M. (2018). Fresh and hardened properties of 3D printable cementitious materials for building and construction. Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, 18(1), 311–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acme.2017.02.008

42. Pacewicz, K., Sobotka, A., & Gołek, Ł. (2018). Characteristic of materials for the 3D-printed building constructions by additive printing. MATEC Web of Conferences, 222, 01013. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201822201013

43. Zhang, J., Wang, J., Dong, S., Yu, X., & Han, B. (2019). A review of the current progress and application of 3D-printed concrete. Composites Part A-applied Science and Manufacturing, 125, 105533. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2019.105533

44. Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., She, W., Yang, L., Liu, G., & Yang, Y. (2019). Rheological and harden properties of the high-thixotropy 3D printing concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 201, 278–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.12.061

45. Souza, M. J. B., Ferreira, I. M., De Moraes, E. G., Senff, L., & De Oliveira, A. C. (2020). 3D-printed concrete for large-scale buildings: An overview of rheology, printing parameters, chemical admixtures, reinforcements, and economic and environmental prospects. Journal of Building Engineering, 32, 101833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101833

46. Alkhalidi, A., & Hatuqay, D. (2020). Energy efficient 3D-printed buildings: Material and techniques selection worldwide study. Journal of Building Engineering, 30, 101286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101286

47. Han, Y., Yang, Z., Yang, Y., & Xiao, J. (2021). Environmental and economic assessment on 3D-printed buildings with recycled concrete. Journal of Cleaner Production, 278, 123884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123884

48. García-Alvarado, R., Moroni-Orellana, G., & Banda-Pérez, P. (2021). Architectural Evaluation of 3D-Printed Buildings. Buildings, 11(6), 254. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings11060254

49. Zhang, C., Zheng, H., Sun, J., Zhou, Y., Xu, W., Dai, Y., Mo, J., & Wang, Z. (2021). 3D-printed, Solid-State Conductive Ionoelastomer as a Generic Building Block for Tactile Applications. Advanced Materials, 34(2), 2105996. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202105996

50. Ebrahimi, M., Mohseni, M., Aslani, A., & Zahedi, R. (2022). Investigation of thermal performance and life-cycle assessment of a 3D-printed building. Energy and Buildings, 272, 112341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2022.112341

51. Ren, C., Hua, D., Bai, Y., Wu, S., Yao, Y., & Wang, W. (2022). Preparation and 3D printing building application of sulfoaluminate cementitious material using industrial solid waste. Journal of Cleaner Production, 363, 132597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132597

52. Kamel, E., & Kazemian, A. (2022). BIM-integrated thermal analysis and building energy modeling in 3D-printed residential buildings. Energy and Buildings, 279, 112670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2022.112670