

Constitutionality of Anti-Terror Laws in India: An Analytical Study¹

Mr. LUVRAJ DHINDSHA

LL.M. Student

JAGRAN SCHOOL OF LAW , DEHRADUN

ABSTRACT:

The constitutionality of anti-terrorism measures in India is discussed in this paper. To combat terrorism, the Indian government has passed a number of laws, including the Armed Forces (Special Powers Act), the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, and the National Investigation Agency Act. These laws offer the essential legal framework required to stop, look into, and prosecute acts of terrorism. The efficiency of these laws and their possible influence on civil liberties, however, are still hotly contested topics. Critics contend that these rules' wide definitions can be abused to target specific people or groups, which could result in arbitrary detentions and violations of civil liberties. Federalism and the distribution of power between the federal government and the states can be called into question by the concentration of power in the hands of the federal government and its agencies. In order to guarantee that these laws are applied in a way that respects individual rights and freedoms while also preserving public safety and security, this abstract asks for regular review and examination of these laws.

Keywords: Anti-terrorism, Federalism, constitutionality, TADA and POTA etc.

INTRODUCTION:

India, like many other nations, must deal with the immense challenge of battling terrorism while upholding civil liberties and protecting human rights. In response to this urgent situation, the Indian government has passed a variety of anti-terrorism legislation to combat terrorist activities and safeguard national security. However, the implementation of these laws and their results has given rise to contentious debates about their effectiveness, scope, and potential impact on civil liberties. This article will present an overview and analysis of anti-terrorism laws in India, as well as a look at their benefits and drawbacks, as well as the current disputes surrounding their implementation.²

¹ Mr. Luvraj Dhindsa, student of LL.M., Jagran School of Law, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.

² <https://www.legalservicesindia.com/article/382/Anti--terrorism-laws-in-India.html> visited on 11/05/2023.

India is a country that has long struggled with terrorism. In order to combat this threat, the Indian government has created a series of anti-terrorism laws that provide the necessary legal framework for preventing, investigating, and prosecuting terrorism-related actions. Despite the fact that these laws are crucial for preserving national security, debate over their effectiveness and potential impact on civil liberties is ongoing.

India faces many challenges in the war against terrorism. It created the POTO (Prevention of Terrorism Ordinance) in October 2001. This law was created to act as a deterrent to both internal and foreign terrorism. This statute contained many of the provisions of the Terrorists and Disruptive Activities (Prevention) Act of 1987, which was repealed in 1995. POTO became mandated by law in March 2002, according to an act. Despite the heavy criticism it got from human rights groups, the Indian government rigorously enforced the Prevention of Terrorism Act (POTA) until it was repealed in 2004.³

Passing anti-terrorism legislation is difficult. Terrorists cannot be treated similarly to ordinary criminals or by the law. Most legal scholars believe that anti-terror regulations are not only burdensome but also inappropriate on a global scale. The advancement of fundamental and basic human rights is supported by the fact that India is a signatory to various human rights accords. India is a signatory to the Geneva Convention, a global agreement on civil and political rights that guards against abuse, torture, censorship, and violations of one's right to life. Although not stated expressly, India's anti-terror laws do, in fact, generally breach these provisions. The UN Convention against Torture has been signed by India, but it has not yet been ratified. This essay will examine the legality of TADA and POTA using multiple case laws and constitutional standards.⁴

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF ANTI TERROR LAWS IN INDIA:

Indian anti-terrorism legislation has a long history that dates back to the country's fight for independence from British colonial domination. The British passed a number of laws during the liberation movement to quell dissent and restrain revolutionary activities; these laws might be seen as the forerunners of today's anti-terrorism regulations.⁵

Insurgencies, domestic conflicts, and cross-border terrorism were only a few of the national security issues that India faced after attaining independence in 1947. The Indian government began passing special legislation to target terrorism-related acts in reaction to these threats. The Armed Forces (Special Powers) Act (AFSPA), which was first passed in 1958 to address the Naga insurgency in the northeast, was one of the first anti-terrorism legislation in independent India. In order to uphold law and order and quell

³ <https://www.claws.in/evolution-of-indias-anti-terrorism-law/> visited on 12/05/2023.

⁴ https://www.legalserviceindia.com/articles/terror_pota.htm visited on 12/05/2023.

⁵ <https://www.claws.in/evolution-of-indias-anti-terrorism-law/> 13/05/2023.

insurrection, the AFSPA grants exceptional authority to the military forces in specific areas, including the right to search, detain, and make arrests.⁶

Another key piece of legislation, the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA), was passed in 1967 to handle illegal and terrorist activities. The UAPA sought to prevent and address actions meant to compromise India's sovereignty, integrity, and security. It gives the government the authority to outlaw terrorist organizations and includes measures for the arrest, custody, and prosecution of those who engage in terrorist activity.⁷

To combat terrorism more thoroughly, the TADA, or Terrorist and Disruptive Activities (Prevention) Act was introduced in 1985. TADA established specialized courts, longer incarceration times, and more expansive investigative authority. The law was harshly criticized, nonetheless, because of the possibility of abuse and misuse, which could result in infringement of human rights.⁸

Due to worries over infringement of human rights, TADA was repealed in 1995, and the Prevention of Terrorism Act (POTA) took its place in 2002. POTA, which was designed to offer a legislative framework for countering terrorism, was criticized in a manner similar to how TADA was. In 2004, it was revoked once more because of worries about violations of human rights and unlawful application of the law.⁹

The National Investigation Agency (NIA) Act was subsequently passed in 2008 in order to create a specialized organization for looking into and prosecuting terrorism-related offences across the nation. The NIA Act aims to improve the legal foundation and law enforcement agency coordination in the fight against terrorism. To address new issues in the battle against terrorism, the Indian government has over the years amended the UAPA and other laws. The government now has more jurisdictions thanks to these modifications, including the ability to label people "terrorists" and seize their belongings.¹⁰

The historical evolution of anti-terrorism laws in India illustrates the nation's continual battle to strike a compromise between concerns about national security and the defence of human rights and civil freedoms. The evolving nature of terrorist threats and the necessity for effective counterterrorism measures that uphold constitutional safeguards and accountability have influenced how these laws have developed.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ Supra note 3

⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-terrorism_legislation visited on 14/05/2023.

⁹ Supra note 4

¹⁰ https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/lib-docs/HRBodies/UPR/Documents/Session1/IN/LIB_IND_UPR_S1_2008_Liberation_uprsubmission.pdf visited on 15/05/2023.

ANTI TERROR LAWS IN VARIOUS COUNTRIES:

Based on their respective legal systems, political environments, and historical backgrounds, anti-terrorism legislation differ amongst nations. While the goals of fighting terrorism share some similarities, there are considerable differences in the precise measures and strategies. Here is a quick summary of some countries' attitudes and strategies regarding anti-terrorism laws:

1. **United States:** The USA PATRIOT Act, which was passed in reaction to the 9/11 attacks, is the most notable anti-terrorism statute that the US has put into place. The government now has more surveillance and investigation authority, including the ability to gather information, wiretap people, and keep an eye on money transfers. These laws have, however, come under fire for possible violations of civil liberties.¹¹
2. **United Kingdom:** The Terrorism Act 2000 and the more current Counter-Terrorism and Security Act 2015 are two laws that the United Kingdom has passed to combat terrorism. These laws give authorities the ability to monitor, detain, and prosecute terrorist suspects. The balance between security and civil liberties has been a topic of discussion and legal contention in the UK.¹²
3. **Australia:** A number of anti-terrorism measures have been put in place in Australia, notably the Criminal Code Act of 1995 and the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation Act of 1979. These rules cover a range of counterterrorism issues, including observation, preventative detention, and control orders. Concerns have been expressed regarding possible violations of civil liberties, much like in other nations.¹³
4. **France:** In 2015, France passed the Emergency State Law in response to a string of terrorist acts, giving the government heightened surveillance, search, and arrest powers as well as limits on public meetings. The contentious Law on Strengthening Internal Security and the Fight against Terrorism, which made some emergency provisions permanent, superseded this law in 2017. Concerns regarding potential abuses of these powers and their effects on civil liberties have been voiced by critics.¹⁴
5. **Canada:** The Anti-Terrorism Act 2015 is one piece of anti-terrorism legislation that Canada has implemented. This law gives the government the right to conduct surveillance, share information,

¹¹ https://www.justice.gov/archive/ll/what_is_the_patriot_act.pdf visited on 15/05/2023.

¹² <https://justice.org.uk/counter-terrorism-human-rights/> visited on 15/05/2023.

¹³ <https://www.ag.gov.au/national-security/australias-counter-terrorism-laws#:~:text=National%20security,-Comprehensive%20review%20of&text=Australia's%20laws%20against%20terrorism%20are,department%20administers%20the%20Criminal%20Code>. Visited on 15/05/2023.

¹⁴ https://www.google.com/search?q=anti-terrorism+law+france&sxsrf=APwXEdc5YXXMdUsyhuqkRVq5PlhO4tObKw%3A1684211416069&ei=2AZjZfzA4X6wQOA1oKYAw&oq=anti+terrorism+laws+in+france&gs_lcp=Cgxnd3Mtd2l6LXNlcnAQAARgAMgYIABAWEB4yCAgAEIoFEIYDMggIABCKBRCGAzIICAAQigUQhgM6BwgiEIoFECc6DQgAEBYQHhAPEPEEEAo6CwgAEBYQHhAPEPEEOggIABAWEB4QDzoKCAAQgAQQFBCHAjoFCAAQgARKBAhBGAFQyQJYpCFg9jRoAXAAeACAAeMDiAGpF5lBCjAuMTEuMy4wLjGYAQCGAQHAAQE&scient=gws-wiz-serp visited on 15/05/2023.

and detain people without cause. The law has drawn criticism, particularly for how it affects private rights and may target particular groups of people.¹⁵

It is significant to highlight that anti-terrorism laws are implemented and have different effects in different nations, and that there are continuous discussions and legal issues around their usefulness, necessity, and potential influence on civil freedoms. For policymakers and judicial systems everywhere, finding a balance between preserving individual rights and preserving national security is a difficult and constant challenge.

DISCUSSION ON ANTI TERRORISM LAWS IN INDIA:

In order to combat the threat of terrorism and maintain national security, India has put various anti-terrorist legislation into-effects. These laws give authorities the structure they need to stop, look into, and prosecute terrorist activity. Key anti-terrorism laws in India include the following:¹⁶

1. **Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA):** One of the most important pieces of legislation tackling terrorism in India is the UAPA, which was passed in 1967 and later updated. It gives the government the authority to declare a group illegal and to outlaw it if it is thought to be engaged in terrorism-related activities. The UAPA enables the arrest, custody, and legal action against those who engage in terrorist activities.
2. **National Investigation Agency (NIA) Act:** In order to establish a specialised agency tasked with nationwide terrorism-related offence investigation and prosecution, the NIA Act was passed in 2008. The NIA has the power to take over investigations from state police organisations, handle cases, and work with other law enforcement organisations.
3. **Armed Forces (Special Powers) Act (AFSPA):** The AFSPA, passed into law in 1958, is in effect in some areas of India afflicted by terrorism and insurgency. It gives the military forces unique authority to uphold law and order and quell insurrection. These powers include the ability to search "disturbed" areas, make arrests, and employ physical force.
4. **Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA):** The PMLA was passed in 2002 with the intention of preventing and combating money laundering, which is frequently linked to funding terrorism. It gives authorities the legal foundation they need to look into and prosecute cases of money laundering, seize assets, and freeze cash related to terrorism.
5. **Indian Penal Code (IPC):** The IPC, India's main penal code, has sections that apply to crimes related to terrorism. In circumstances of terrorism, provisions including waging war against the state, sedition, encouraging hostility between various groups, and unlawful assembly are invoked.

¹⁵ <https://terrorvictimresponse.ca/legislation-in-canada/#:~:text=Terorism%3A%20In%20Canada%2C%20section%2083.01,security%2C%20or%20compelling%20a%20person%2C> visited on 15/05/2023.

¹⁶ <https://www.claws.in/evolution-of-indias-anti-terrorism-law/> visited on 16/05/2023.

6. **Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967:** To address illegal and terrorist activities in India, this law was passed. It gives the government the authority to outlaw and prosecute those connected to organizations that engage in illegal or terrorist activity.
7. **Prevention of Terrorism Act, 2002:** From 2002 to 2004, this law which was passed to stop terrorist operations in India was in effect. It permitted for the detention of suspects for up to 180 days without charge and defined terrorism. This law was repealed in 2004 after it came under fire for having the potential to be misused and violate human rights.
8. **The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Amendment Act, 2019:** The 1967 Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act is amended by this statute to contain clauses allowing for the labeling of people as terrorists and the confiscation of property. Additionally, it enables the establishment of a National Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Tribunal to decide on such disputes.

Among other things, these laws offer the legal basis for combating terrorism in India. Concerns have been voiced, however, regarding the possible abuse or misuse of these regulations, the violation of civil freedoms, and the requirement for adequate control and responsibility. The implementation of anti-terrorism laws in India continues to present a problem in finding a balance between the need for national security and the defence of individual rights.

Terrorist and Disruptive Activities (Prevention) Act, 1985

In 1985, India passed the Terrorist and Disruptive Activities (Prevention) Act (TADA), which aimed to combat terrorism. Its principal goals were to combat terrorism and uphold national security. Here is a list of TADA's main clauses and characteristics:¹⁷

- **Definition of Terrorism:** TADA defined terrorism and terrorist actions as acts meant to subvert public order, jeopardize national security, or undermine India's sovereignty. It included a wide variety of crimes, including bombings, abductions, hijackings, and assassinations.¹⁸
- **Special Courts:** TADA developed specialised courts to deal with cases only involving terrorism. These courts were established to make sure that cases were tried quickly and to safeguard witnesses.¹⁹
- **Extended Detention Period:** TADA permitted the prolonged detention of suspects without formally charging them. In contrast to existing laws, which only permitted the police to hold suspects for a maximum of 90 days, this statute permitted them to hold them for up to 180 days.

¹⁷ [https://unacademy.com/content/upsc/full-forms/tada-full-form/#:~:text=...Read%20full-Answer%3A%20TADA%20stands%20for%20Terrorist%20and%20Disruptive%20Activities%20\(Prevention\),to%20oppose%20the%20terrorist%20activities](https://unacademy.com/content/upsc/full-forms/tada-full-form/#:~:text=...Read%20full-Answer%3A%20TADA%20stands%20for%20Terrorist%20and%20Disruptive%20Activities%20(Prevention),to%20oppose%20the%20terrorist%20activities). Visited on 11/05/2023.

¹⁸ <https://www.satp.org/satporgtp/countries/india/document/actandordinances/tada.htm> visited on 12/05/2023.

¹⁹ Ibid.

- **Enhanced Investigation Powers:** TADA gave law enforcement authorities more flexibility when it comes to searches, seizures, and detentions. It authorised the intercepting of communications and the use of police officer admissions as evidence in legal proceedings.
- **Guilt by Association:** Regardless of whether they were directly involved in terrorist attacks, the TADA established the principle of "guilt by association," under which anyone might be held accountable for terrorist acts if it was determined that they had helped or supported a terrorist organization.
- **Controversies and Criticisms:** TADA received a lot of flak for its potential for abuse and abuses of human rights. Allegations of arbitrary arrests, torture, and mistreatment of captives were made. It was questioned if the law's expansive definition of terrorism may be used to target minority groups and political dissidents.²⁰
- **Repeal:** Due to significant worries about its abuse and abuses of human rights, TADA was repealed in 1995. In its successor came the Prevention of Terrorism Act (POTA), which was abolished in 2004 after receiving comparable criticism.

Due to significant worries about its abuse and abuses of human rights, TADA was repealed in 1995. In its successor came the Prevention of Terrorism Act (POTA), which was abolished in 2004 after receiving comparable criticism.

Prevention of Terrorism Act, 2002 (POTA):

The Prevention of Terrorism Act (POTA), passed in 2002, was an anti-terrorism law in India. Its objective was to strengthen the legal framework for preventing terrorism and preserving national security. Following is a list of POTA's primary clauses and traits:²¹

1. **Definition of Terrorism:** POTA offered a thorough definition of terrorism that included diverse actions like bombings, hijackings, and assaults on government facilities. It attempted to address terrorism on both the home front and abroad.²²
2. **Special Courts:** For the purpose of handling terrorism-related cases, POTA set up special courts. These tribunals were established to hasten trials and guarantee the swift resolution of terrorism-related disputes.
3. **Expanded Investigative Powers:** POTA gave law enforcement organisations more flexibility in their ability to monitor, search for, seize, and make arrests. It permitted communication interception and the use of intercepted conversations as evidence in court.

²⁰ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terrorist_and_Disruptive_Activities_\(Prevention\)_Act](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terrorist_and_Disruptive_Activities_(Prevention)_Act) visited on 12/05/2023.

²¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prevention_of_Terrorism_Act,_2002#:~:text=The%20Prevention%20of%20Terrorism%20Act,the%20Attack%20on%20the%20Parliament. Visited on 11/05/2023.

²² <https://cdn1.byjus.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/POTA.pdf> visited on 13/05/2023.

4. **Extended Detention Period:** POTA increased the duration spent in jail without official charges to 180 days in order to give police more time to look into situations involving terrorism.²³
5. **Confessions and Admissibility:** POTA increased the duration spent in jail without official charges to 180 days in order to give police more time to look into situations involving terrorism.
6. **Controversies and Criticisms:** POTA received a lot of flak for its potential for abuse, misuse, and civil rights violations. There were reservations about the expansive definition of terrorism, which might be applied to minorities and political dissidents. The bill also received criticism for its rules on the admissibility of confessions and prolonged incarceration without charge.²⁴
7. **Repeal:** POTA was repealed in 2004 as a result of strong opposition and worries that it would violate human rights. The government justified its repeal by noting the necessity to strike a balance between personal freedoms and national security.

The passage of POTA and its subsequent repeal underscore the difficulties in striking a balance between the need for national security and the defence of civil liberties. The controversy over POTA has fueled ongoing discussions about the need for strong safeguards to prevent abuse and defend fundamental rights with effective anti-terrorism measures.²⁵

JUDICIAL RESPONSE ON ANTI TERRORISM LWS:

Terrorism has immensely affected India. The reasons for terrorism in India may vary vastly from religious to geographical to caste to history. The Indian Supreme Court took a note of it in *Kartar Singh v. State of Punjab*,²⁶ where it was noted that the nation has been firmly under the control of terrorist violence that is spiralling out of control and is caught in the midst of terrible disruptions. Aside from numerous skirmishes in different parts of the country, there were countless serious and horrifying incidents that turned many cities into graveyards, engulfing them in a bloodbath, shooting, looting, and mad killing even without sparing women and children. These heinous atrocities rocked and shocked the entire country. Deplorably, committed young people drawn to the terrorism ideology and hard-core criminals and underground extremists are engaging in terrible crimes against humanity.

In the case of *People's Union for Civil Liberties vs. Union of India (UOI)*,²⁷ The Prevention of Terrorism Act, 2002's constitutionality was discussed. According to the court, the Parliament has the authority to legislate the Act under Article 248 and article 97 of list I of the seventh schedule of the Indian Constitution. The necessity of the Act is a question of public policy, and the court cannot address it. Following the passage of legislation, the government is obligated to use every legal means at its disposal to thwart

²³ Ibid.

²⁴ Supra Note 21.

²⁵ <https://blog.ipleaders.in/prevention-terrorism-repeal-act-2004/> visited on 16/05/2023.

²⁶ [1994] 3 SCC 569.

²⁷ (2004) 9 SCC 580

terrorism while adhering to the constitution. A statute cannot be declared unconstitutional or the vesting of powers denied based solely on the likelihood of abuse. The Court maintained the constitutionality of the Act's numerous provisions.²⁸

Devender Pal Singh vs. State of N.C.T. of Delhi²⁹ The court stated that such terrorists who have no respect for human life and people are killed owing to their thoughtless murdering in a case where nine individuals had perished and several others were injured as a result of the perpetrated atrocities. Any pity for such a person would defeat the intent behind Tada's implementation and would be misguided and unjustified. Therefore, they ought to be executed. Argument in opposition: Trade union activities would be impacted since POTA would apply to anyone who interferes with vital supply. Argument in favour: Trade union leaders are at least influential nationalists. Nobody has ever claimed that the unity, integrity, security, and sovereignty of India are in danger when trade union leaders go on strike.

Sanjay Duttt vs. State through C.B.I³⁰ Although section 5 of the Tada has stated that the term possession refers to a conscious possession, conscious possession has been defined as involving a mental element rather than mere custody without awareness of the nature of such possession, as well as unauthorized means and without any authority of law. Argument in opposition: A crime under the Arms Act has been brought under POTA, regardless of whether the person carrying the weapons has any connections to terrorist organizations. Argument in favor, "The clause states quite clearly that anyone in possession of weapons without authorization is in violation of the law." This part merely serves to tighten the law by mandating that everyone who owns weapons also hold a valid licence issued by the appropriate government. Additionally, it adds that weapons should be able to wage war using biological or chemical agents; therefore it begs the question of why someone would unlawfully acquire such weapons.

T.T. Anthony vs. State of Kerala³¹ Police have a limited amount of authority to look into a cognizable offence. It is subject to some restrictions, such as the fact that the police lack the jurisdiction to conduct an investigation if no cognizable offence is disclosed and much more so if no offence of any type is declared.

State (N.C.T. of Delhi) Vs. Navjot Sandhu & Afsan Guru³² This appeal was filed in response to attacks on parliament that were made after the convictions. The issue included the admissibility and probative value of evidence, according to which the court cannot act on retractions of confessions unless they are voluntary and can be supported by other evidence. Confessions made under POTA cannot be used against co-accused since POTA functions independently of the Indian Evidence Act and Indian Penal Code, and confessions of

²⁸ Siddharth Malik, 'Should India Revamp Its Anti- Terrorism Laws After The July 11 Serial Explosions', available at <http://www.legalserviceindia/articles/htm>, (Last Viewed on June, 25, 2009).

²⁹ (2002) (1) SC (Cr.) 209

³⁰ (1994) SCC 410.

³¹ (2001) Cri LJ 3329

³² (2005) 11 SCC 600

the accused can only be used against co-accused if there is adequate evidence pointing to his guilt. Since the confessional statement was not used as the basis for the conviction, Section 10 of the Evidence Act is not applicable.

UAPA and the Current Scenario:

TADA and POTA are the ancestors of UAPA. These three statutes' unconstitutionality stems from very comparable historical circumstances. If the chargesheet is not submitted after an arrest under the UAPA, the suspect cannot request bail. A charge sheet could be filed under the UAPA 180 days after the arrest. As a result, a person arrested under the UAPA has almost no prospect of being released on bond before six months have passed since his arrest, which violates his right to personal liberty. The majority of these detainees are the family's sole breadwinners, and having them held for at least six months can affect not just the detainee's life but also the lives of his or her family, according to a Human Rights Forum debate panel. The 2008 UAPA amendment also undermines the federalism provisions of the constitution. The National Investigation Agency (NIA) was established and given authority to look into any case reported under UAPA. The state governments won't take a position on the probe because NIA is a division of the Home Ministry. In order to gather data on every individual, carry out surveillance projects, and communicate the information to organizations like the NIA, IB, RAW, and the CBI, the National Intelligence Grid was created in 2009. For aspiring public leaders and union leaders, having the UAPA is a nightmare. The recent farmer demonstrations in New Delhi are about to be filed under UAPA. According to several sources, the NIA has already issued notifications to these protestors to warn them.³³ Peaceful protesting is a fundamental right, even though it isn't stated officially in the constitution.

The UAPA is viewed as a political weapon that is utilised against anyone who opposes the government. Due to the definitions' ambiguity, it is simple for the prosecution to charge someone under UAPA based on a single suspect. By upholding the TADA and the POTA as falling within the bounds and principles of the constitution, the Supreme Court has already made clear where it stands. It has, however, also highlighted some parts of these laws.

In *Sri India Das v. The State of Assam*,³⁴ The UAPA's Section 10 has been examined by the Supreme Court. Membership in a prohibited organization was forbidden and punishable under Section 10 of the UAPA. According to the supreme court, "mere membership in a prohibited organisation will not make a person a criminal unless he resorts to violence or incites people to violence or creates public disorder by violence or incitement to violence (Articles 19 and 21 of the Constitution)."³⁵

³³ The Leaflet, PUCL and 100 Organisations demand the repeal of the UAPA, January 21, 2021 available at : <https://www.theleaflet.in/pucl-and-100-organisations-demand-the-repeal-of-the-uapa/#> (last accessed at February 5, 2021)

³⁴ Shri Indra Das v. State of Assam, 2011 SCC (3) 380

³⁵Supra note 12.

Conclusion:

In order to confront the threat of terrorism and safeguard national security, India has established a number of anti-terrorist laws over the years. These laws, such as the Prevention of Terrorism Act, the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, and the National Investigation Agency Act, give the government the authority to combat terrorism, including the ability to outlaw terrorist groups, strengthen investigative capacities, and set up special courts.

However, there have been complaints and problems around the application of these laws. Their potential for misuse, abuse, and the violation of civil liberties has been a source of concern. Allegations of arbitrary custody, prolonged confinement, and human rights breaches have been made. Concerns regarding the potential targeting of political dissidents and minority communities have been expressed in light of the expansive definitions of terrorism and clauses allowing for guilt by association.

Due to disagreements about the efficacy, abuse potential, and civil liberties violations of legislation like TADA and POTA, those laws have since been repealed. These repeals serve as a reminder of how crucial it is to strike a balance between the need for national security and the defence of individual rights.

In order to effectively combat the threat of terrorism and ensure strong safeguards and accountability procedures to defend civil rights, a thorough and effective legislative framework is needed. Regular reviews and updates of India's anti-terrorism laws that take into consideration global human rights norms and best practices are essential.

It is important to work to improve judicial oversight, ensure openness, and advance the impartial and effective operation of special courts. To promote a better understanding of the balance between national security and individual rights, public awareness and education on anti-terrorism laws and their implications are also crucial.

In conclusion, it is critical to make sure that anti-terrorism legislation are enforced within a framework that preserves the concepts of justice, human rights, and the rule of law, even though these laws are essential for preserving national security. For India, finding the correct balance between concerns about national security and the defence of civil liberties remains a constant challenge that necessitates constant review, assessment, and improvement of anti-terrorism legislation.

SUGGESTIONS:

The protection of fundamental rights guaranteed by the Indian Constitution must coexist peacefully with national security needs while determining whether anti-terrorism measures in India are constitutional. Here are some ideas to strengthen the anti-terrorism measures in India's constitution:

1. **Clear and Precise Definitions:** Anti-terrorism laws should provide clear and precise definitions of terrorism-related offenses to avoid ambiguity and potential misuse. The definitions should be aligned with international standards while reflecting the specific context and challenges faced by India.
2. **Proportionality and Necessity:** Any restrictions on fundamental rights must be proportionate to the threat posed by terrorism and necessary for the protection of national security. Laws should not

unduly infringe upon the rights of individuals and should be narrowly tailored to achieve their objectives.

3. **Judicial Oversight:** Strengthen the role of the judiciary in ensuring the constitutionality of anti-terrorism laws. Independent and impartial judicial review should be conducted to assess the compliance of these laws with constitutional principles, ensuring that the rights of individuals are adequately protected.
4. **Safeguards against Abuse:** Incorporate robust safeguards to prevent the abuse of anti-terrorism laws. Provisions should be in place to ensure fair trials, protection against arbitrary detention, and safeguards against torture and other forms of ill-treatment. Clear guidelines should be established for the exercise of investigative powers to avoid potential misuse.
5. **Periodic Review and Sunset Clauses:** Anti-terrorism laws should be subject to periodic review to assess their effectiveness, necessity, and impact on civil liberties. Sunset clauses can be included to ensure that these laws are regularly evaluated and renewed based on demonstrated need and compliance with constitutional principles.
6. **Transparency and Accountability:** Promote transparency in the application of anti-terrorism laws, including public reporting on the use of investigative powers, detentions, and prosecutions. Establish mechanisms for accountability to address instances of abuse or misconduct by law enforcement agencies.
7. **Public Awareness and Education:** Enhance public awareness and education about anti-terrorism laws, their provisions, and implications. This can help foster a better understanding of the balance between national security and individual rights, facilitating informed discussions and engagement on these critical issues.
8. **International Human Rights Standards:** Align anti-terrorism laws with international human rights standards, including those reflected in treaties and conventions ratified by India. Drawing upon global best practices can help ensure that Indian laws are in line with established norms of human rights protection.

To ensure the constitutionality of anti-terrorism laws in India, a comprehensive strategy is required. This entails achieving the ideal harmony between protecting fundamental rights and national security considerations, as well as strong judicial control, safeguards against misuse, and initiatives to increase transparency and public knowledge. These recommendations can help India respect the values of justice, human rights, and the rule of law while strengthening the constitutional legitimacy and efficacy of its anti-terrorism laws.