

# THE CASE SYSTEM OF *YERUKALA*

M.S.BHARATH KUMAR<sup>1</sup>,

Research Scholar

Dept. Dravidian & Computational Linguistics  
Dravidian University

Dr. MC KESAVA MURTY<sup>2</sup>

Associate Professor

Dept. Dravidian & Computational Linguistics  
Dravidian University

## ABSTRACT

The present paper is an attempt to study the case markers of *Yerukala* language. *Yerukala* is a Dravidian Language mainly spoken by the *Yerukala* tribes. This language is also called as *kurru basha* or *kula vaatha*. *Yerukala* is Linguistically close to South Dravidian Languages such as *Irula*, *Ravula* and *Tamil*. These tribes largely found in southern Indian states of Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Tamilnadu and Karnataka. The population of *Yerukala* is 5,59,000 (according to 2011 census) and has a literacy rate of 25.74% (according to 2011 census). They call themselves as “*kurru*”. They are called *Yerukala* after their women’s traditional profession of fortune telling (*eruka chepputa*, Telugu term). This tribe has a dialect of its own which is called “*Yerukala basha*” or “*kurru basha*” or “*kula vātha*”. Most of its words borrowed from Telugu and some are borrowed from Tamil and Kannada. The tribe is concentrated in the Kurnool, Chittoor, Kadapa and Ananthapur districts of Andhra Pradesh and also in some other adjoining areas. An attempt is made to study case markers in *Yerukala*. Eight types of cases are found in *Yerukala* language and they are marked with suffixes. The eight types of cases are Nominative, Accusative, Instrumental, Dative, Ablative, Genitive, Locative and Associative. In *Yerukala* Nominative case is unmarked as in many other Dravidian Languages.

**Keywords:** *Yerukala*, methodology, case system, suffix.

**Abbreviation:** 1-First Person; 2- Second Person; 3- Third Person; NOM- Nominative; ACC- Accusative; INST- Instrumental; DAT- Dative; ABL- Ablative; GEN- Genitive; LOC- Locative; ASS- Associative; Sg. Singular; Pl- Plural; M- Masculine; NM- Non-Masculine; PST- Past Tense;

## 1. Introduction

*Yerukula* is an Endangered South Dravidian Language (cf. George Van Driem.2007 and Venkata Gouri. S. Aruna. Y. 2020) mainly spoken by the *Yerukula* tribe. This language is also called *Kurru basha* or *Kulavatha*. *Yerukula* is linguistically close to South Dravidian languages such as *Ravula*, *Irula* and *Tamil*. This language tribe (*Yerukala* or *Erukala* or *Erukula*) is a caste or social group found largely in the Southern Indian states of Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Tamilnadu and Karnataka. *Yerukulas* are indigenous people of South India who mostly follow *Hindu* religion. The Population of *Yerukula's* is 5,49,000 (according to 2011 Census) and has a literacy rate of 25.74% (according to 2011 Census). This tribe is divided into a number of functional and endogamous sub-divisions and each such sub-division is named after the commodity, which they traded in and the occupation they adopted. Linguistically *Yerukula* has not been grouped into Dravidian Languages, and its subgrouping is pending. Even though most of the *Yerukala* Lexical elements are similar to *Irula*, *Ravula* and *Tamil*. The script used to record *Yerukala* Literature is Telugu. In the following steps a detail report is presented about the case system of *Yerukala*. This report is a part of morphological study of *Yerukala* language.

### 1.1. *Yerukala*

The *Yerukalas* are a wondering tribe, settled in plains in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Tamilnadu, Kerala, Karnataka, Maharashtra, West Bengal (Calcutta) and Orissa (Thurston: 1909). The *Yerukalas* are Dravidian tribe. They have different nomenclature in different states. They are called *Yerukalas* in Andhra Pradesh, koravas or koragas in Tamilnadu, korachas in Karnataka and kaikaḍi’s in narmada region of Maharashtra. They are known as korava from the extreme South to the North of the North Arcot district of Tamilnadu, where they are korcha or koracha, and in the ceded district of Andhra Pradesh they become *Yerukala* or *Yerakala*. According to Balfour, “The koravas, or a certain section of them, i.e., the kunchi koravas, were known as *Yerukal koravar*, and they called the language they speak as *yerkal*. The same authority, writing of *yerkalwadu*, alludes to them as *kurshiwano*, and goes onto say that they style themselves *Yeraka*, and give the same appellation to the language in which they hold communication. The word *yerkal* here undoubtedly stands for *Yerukala*, and *kurshi* for *korcha*. It is contended that the koravas and *Yerukalas* do not intermarry or eat together. But according to one extracted note, dated 1895, marriages took place between the southern koravas from Madurai district (Tamilnadu) and the *Yerukalas* of the Cuddapah district (Andhra Pradesh). In Nellore (Andhra Pradesh) and other districts, *Yerukalas* also purchase their wives. The price varying from thirty to seventy rupees, but money rarely passed on to the party on such occasions, the consideration being paid in asses or cattle.

## 2. Methodology

The *Yerukala* language is used limited to their social gathering and mode of communication among the elder people. Apart from elder people, the younger generation the language finds narrow. The study on *Yerukala* language is undertaken with extensive interaction among the *Yerukala* speakers, asking informants (both younger and elder) to gather and validate the data. The work is done on the basis of traditional tools such as Swadesh questionnaire and SAP (UGC Special Assistance Programme) questionnaire which is prepared for our Department project on Language Documentation of Endangered Dravidian Languages etc., which are used for descriptive linguistics documentation. The data is collected from Rayalaseema region (Kurnool, Ananthpur, Kadapa and Chittoor districts) of Andhra Pradesh.

The present article attempts to present the case system of *Yerukala*. There are eight cases found in *Yerukala* language.

## 3. Case Grammar

Case grammar theory was proposed by the American linguist Charles J. Fillmore in 1968 for representing linguistic knowledge in the context of transformational grammar that focused the link between subject, object and verb.

### 3.1. Case System

The case and case marker plays a crucial role especially in relation to Nouns and Pronouns. Case is considered to be an inflectional category, basically of Nouns and Pronouns, which specifically marks their function in relation to other parts of the sentence. Case is used in the analysis of word classes (of their association phrases) to identify the systematic relationship between the words in a sentence. There are eight cases in *Yerukala* in which nominative case is unmarked.

Case is marked primarily with the help of suffix markers in *Yerukala*. There are eight cases in *Yerukala* language. Out of eight, nominative case is unmarked and other seven cases occur with the oblique form of the Noun and Pronoun. They are enlisted in the below table:

Sl.No.	Case	<i>Yerukala</i> Case marker
1.	Nominative	-
2.	Accusative	/na/
3.	Dative	/ki/, /ku/
4.	Instrumental	/ōṭi/, /tō/, iṭṭe/
5.	Locative	/kōku/, /kōru/
6.	Associative	/nōṭe/, /ōṭi gūḍa/,
7.	Ablative	/uṇḍi/
8.	Genitive	/u/, /a/

#### 3.1.1. Nominative Case

A nominative case is unmarked. A noun is said to be a nominative case, when it is used as the subject of a sentence, or a nominative has no case suffix of its own (or has a zero suffix). The nominative case denotes the subject of a sentence and it is unmarked as in many other Dravidian Languages.

[1]	<i>kōmala</i> komala-NOM-3.Sg. Komala ate a banana.	<i>araṭi paṅga</i> Banana	<i>tīru-s-u</i> eat-PST-3.Sg.NM
-----	---	------------------------------	------------------------------------

[2]	<i>naṅga</i> we-NOM-1.Pl. We drank coffee.	<i>kāpi</i> coffee	<i>kur-s-o</i> drink-PST-1.Pl.
-----	--	-----------------------	-----------------------------------

[3]	<i>adu</i> he-NOM-3.Sg. He wrote a book.	<i>bukku</i> book	<i>rā-s-idu</i> write-PST-3.Sg.M
-----	--	----------------------	-------------------------------------

#### 3.1.2. Accusative Case

Like many other Dravidian languages *Yerukala* also defines the direct object with its accusative case marker. In simple words, accusative case shows the direct object represented by a nominal class of word. A direct object is one which receives an action. Accusative suffix is primarily used for denoting the direct object of a transitive verb. In *Yerukala* language Accusative case is overtly shown by the case suffix *-na/*. But in some cases they are inherent.

[4]	<i>nānu</i> i-NOM-1.Sg.M/NM I beat the dog.	<i>nāy-na</i> dog-ACC	<i>mot-i-rē</i> beat-PST-1.Sg.M/NM.
-----	---	--------------------------	--

- [5] *adu* *kiṭiki-na* *vāng-i-du*  
 he-NOM-3.Sg. window-ACC open-PST-3.Sg.M  
 He opened the window.
- [6] *sinkeruvu* *kuṇḍ-na* *pagalamot-i-du*  
 boy-NOM-3.Sg. pot-ACC break-PST-3Sg.M  
 The boy broke the pot.
- [7] *rāmu* *ceḍi-na* *artōṭ-i-du*  
 ram-NOM-3.Sg. tree-ACC cut-PST-3 Sg.M  
 Ramu cut the tree.

There is no explicit case marker we find in the below example. As we can say that the case marker is implicit. This is an ontological inflection

- [8] *rāji* *vāsal-θ* *vāng-i-du*  
 raji-NOM-3.Sg. door-absent open-PST-3.Sg.NM  
 Raji opened the door.

### 3.1.3. Dative Case

Dative case marker mainly used to indicate indirect object of a sentence. Semantically it denotes beneficiary of the action in a sentence. In some cases it also used to define directional case and also possession case. In *Yerukala* language Dative case is overtly shown by the case suffixes */-ku/* and */-ki/*.

- [9] *ayya* *bajār-ku* *ō-s-u*  
 They-NOM-3.Pl. market-DAT go-PST.3Pl.  
 They went to market.
- [10] *naṅ amma-ku* *esur* *van-s-u*  
 My mother-DAT angry come-PST  
 My mother got angry.
- [11] *nā-ku* *akka* *edu*  
 i-DAT-1.Sg.M/NM sister have  
 I have a sister.
- [12] *mari-ki* *mobbuṇḍike* *bītu*  
 mari-DAT-3.Sg.M darkness fear  
 Mari is afraid of fear.
- [13] *nānu* *ravi-ki* *kāpi* *kud-t-e*  
 I-NOM-1.Sg Ravi-DAT coffee give-PST-1P.Sg  
 I gave coffee to ravi.
- [14] *naṭu* *keti-ki* *padunu* *akuva*  
 my knife-DAT sharp more  
 My knife is more sharp.

Two variants are available for the Dative Case marking. They are */-ki/* and */-ku/* and here */ki/* and */ku/* suffixes are common as we find in some Dravidian Languages like Koya, Kui, Telugu etc.

### 3.1.4 Instrumental Case

The Instrumental case is used to indicate how something is done or the nominals which are used to complete the action. The nominals which has this case marker is used as instrument or means by or with which subject achieves or accomplished an action. The noun may be either a physical object or an abstract concept. In *Yerukala* the case markers which define Instrumental case are */-ōṭi/*, */-iṭṭe/*, */-tō/* and */-ōṭi gūḍa/*. These markers are overtly present in a sentence

- [15] *mari* *kell-ōṭi* *nannu* *motu-s-u*  
 mari-NOM-3.Sg. stone-INST me hit-PST-3.Sg.M  
 He hit me with a stone.
- [16] *adu* *kāram-ōṭi* *sōru* *tiṅgi-s-u*  
 he-NOM-3.Sg. spicy-INST rice eat-PST-3Sg.M  
 He ate rice with pickle.
- nānu* *goḍel-iṭṭe* *ceḍi* *boṭi-r-e*

[17]	I-Nom-1.Sg. I cut the tree with an axe.	axe-INST	tree	cut-PST-1Sg.M/NM
[18]	<i>ayya</i> They-3.Pl. They beat him with a stick	<i>kol-iṭṭe</i> stick-INST	<i>atta</i> him	<i>mocu</i> beat-PST-3PL M/NM
[19]	<i>malar</i> Malar-3.Sg.NM Malar wrote an exam with a pen.	<i>pen-tō</i> pen-INST	<i>exam</i> exam	<i>rasidu</i> write-PST.3.Sg.NM
[20]	<i>nāgamma</i> nagamma-3.Sg. Nagamma hit the snake with a stick.	<i>pāmu-na</i> snake-ACC	<i>kollu-tō</i> stick-INST	<i>mocu</i> hit-PST.3.Sg.NM

Here /tō/ ‘with, along with, is used in Telugu which is a local dominant language.

### 3.1.5. Locative Case

Locative case shows where the action or events takes place. Locative case is expressed by the marker **/-kōru/** and **/-kōku/** in *Yerukala*. The postposition */vadda/* also occur in the meaning “near” denoting locative sense.

[21]	<i>nānu</i> I-NOM -1.Sg I saw him in the field.	<i>atta-na</i> him-ACC	<i>koḷḷa-kōru</i> field-LOC	<i>pātire</i> see-PST-1Sg.M.
[22]	<i>adu</i> he-NOM-3.Sg He saw the god in the temple.	<i>dēvur-na</i> god-ACC	<i>gudi-kōru</i> temple-LOC	<i>pātidu</i> see-PST-3Sg.M
[23]	<i>mīnu</i> fish-NOM Fish lives in the water.	<i>tanni-kōku</i> water-LOC	<i>pokēdu</i> live-Non-PST	
[24]	<i>nānu</i> I-NOM -3.Sg. I saw the cat in the home.	<i>pūna-na</i> cat-ACC	<i>ūtu-kōku</i> home-LOC	<i>pātire</i> see-PST.3SG.M/NM

### 3.1.6. Associative Case

The Associative case is a grammatical case which expresses associativity which is nothing but social accompaniment. This is mainly with animate nouns. In *Yerukala* we can find **/-nōṭe/** and **/ōṭi gūḍa/** markers which denote association with the noun.

[25]	<i>malar</i> malar-NOM-3.Sg. malar went with him.	<i>att-ōṭi</i> he-ASS	<i>gūḍa</i>	<i>ōsu</i> go-PST-3.Sg.NM
[26]	<i>nāgamma</i> nagamma-NOM-3.Sg. Nagamma came with me.	<i>nan-ōṭi</i> me-ASS	<i>gūḍa</i>	<i>vansu</i> come-PST-3.Sg.NM

In *Yerukala*, when a noun phrase refers to controllable psychosomatic states, such as, anger, hunger, suspicion, pregnancy, sickness etc. it takes the suffix **/-nōṭe/** as shown below.

[27]	<i>kōmala</i> komala-NOM-3.Sg. Komala is hungry.	<i>pesi-nōṭe</i> hungry-ASS	<i>idu</i>
[28]	<i>premlā</i> premla-NOM-3.Sg. Premila is pregnant.	<i>varugu-nōṭe</i> pregnant-ASS	<i>idu</i>

### 3.1.7. Ablative Case

The Ablative case shows the motion away from something. *Yerukala* nouns in the ablative often refer to a subject “out of” which or “from” whom something (an action, an object) has arisen or occur. *-uṇḍi/* is used to denote Ablative case in *Yerukala* language.

[29]	<i>adu</i> he-NOM-3.Sg. He will come from kuppam.	<i>kuppam-uṇḍi</i> kuppam-ABL	<i>vārāku</i> come-PST-3 Sg.M
[30]	<i>adu</i> he-NOM-3.Sg. He plucked the fruit from the tree	<i>ceḍi- uṇḍi</i> tree-ABL	<i>panga</i> <i>pecikonḍire</i> fruit-Pl     pluck-PST-3.Sg.M
[31]	<i>nānu</i> I-NOM -1Sg. I am coming from kurnool.	<i>karnūl -uṇḍi</i> Kurnool-ABL	<i>vārāri</i> come-NST-1Sg.M/NM

### 3.1.8. Genitive Case

The genitive case expresses the meaning of ‘belonging to or origin or possession or similar relation’. The genitive case is expressed by the use of suffix *-a/* and *-u/*.

[32]	<i>idu</i> this-NOM This is my house.	<i>nāṭ-u</i> my-GEN	<i>ūḍu</i> house
[33]	<i>adu</i> that-NOM That is their house.	<i>ask-u</i> their-GEN	<i>ūḍu</i> house
[34]	<i>idu</i> this-NOM This car is yours.	<i>kāru</i> car	<i>nī-a-du</i> your-Sg.Obj.

Genitive case is also expressed without any marker. The noun construction gives the genitive meaning.

[35]	<i>naṅga amma</i> <i>naṅga āva</i>	My mother My father
------	---------------------------------------	------------------------

### Conclusion

An attempt is made to study the case system of *Yerukala*, a South Dravidian Language. We have distinguished the case markers of *Yerukala* language which is located in the Rayalaseema region of Andhra Pradesh. As we found most of the words are borrowed from local dominant language Telugu and some are from other south Dravidian languages like Tamil and Kannada. It is observed that there are eight cases in *Yerukala* language. The eight case system have been explained with proper examples and glossing. The eight cases are Nominative, Accusative, Dative, Instrumental, Locative, Associative and Ablative. Nominative Case marker is unmarked as in many Dravidian Languages.

### References

- Addanki Srinivas and Rajarama, K. (2015). An analysis of Genitive in Telugu for Dependency Parser. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Linguistics*, Vol- [8], Pp.215-224.
- Cain, J. (1880). *The Yerukala Language*. Indian - Antiquary, Vol-9, Pp.210-212.
- Chinnam Rajaram. (2014). *The Yerukala Language*. Scholars Press. Saarbücken.
- Chinnaya Suri, P. (1985). *Balavyakaranam*. Madras: Vavilla & Sons.
- Fillmore, J. C. (1968). The case for case in E. Bach and R. Harms, eds., *Universal in Linguistic Theory*. London: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.
- George Van Driem. (2007). *Endangered Languages of South Asia*. Ed. Mathias Brenzings. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Krishnamurti, Bh. (2003). *The Dravidian Languages*. Cambridge University Press.
- Krishnamurti, Bh. and Gwynn, J. P. L. (1990). *A Grammar of Modern Telugu*. New Delhi Oxford University Press.
- Ramakrishna Reddy, B. (1986). *Localist Studies in Telugu Syntax*. Department of Cultural Affairs, Government of Andhra Pradesh.
- Sampath Kumar, M. (1986). *Kinship terms of Yerukala Language*. Ph.D, dissertation, University of Madras, Chennai.
- Sampath Kumar, M. (1986). *A Descriptive Grammar of Yerukala Language*. M.Phil, dissertation, University of Madras, Chennai.
- Srinivas Verma, G. (1969). *Phonology of Yerukala*, *Dravidian Linguistics - V*, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu.

- Subbarao, K. V. and Bhaskarrao, P. (2004). Non-Nominative subjects in Telugu.
- Thurston, Edgar & Rangachari, K. (1909). Castes and Tribes of South India Vol-1, Asian Educational Services. New Delhi, Madras. pp.258-9
- Uma Maheshwar Rao, G. Raja Rama, K. and Srinivas, A. (2012). Dative Case in Telugu A Parsing perspective. ACL Anthology.
- Venkata Gouri Sankar. S. and Aruna. Y. (2020). Jan.4. The Endangered Languages of Telugu Speaking States: Andhra Pradesh and Telangana. EasyChair.

**Online Sources:**

- [http://www.censusindi.gov.in/census\\_Data\\_2011/Census\\_Data\\_Online/language /data\\_on\\_language.html](http://www.censusindi.gov.in/census_Data_2011/Census_Data_Online/language /data_on_language.html)
- <https://indiantribalheritage.org/?p=30494>

