DIRICHLET SERIES AND ZETA FUNCTIONS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS IN ANALYTIC NUMBER THEORY: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANALYTIC NUMBER THEORY

Parvesh Kumar

Pt. N.R.S. Govt. College (Rohtak), Haryana(India)

ABSTRACT

This is an incredibly important and instructional description of the standards of zeta capability and of the going with zeta regularisation methods, starting with the ideas of symphonic series and unique totals generally. It is demonstrated how these potent approaches are used, with the aid of astonishingly simple models, for the regularisation of actual amounts, such as quantum vacuum changes in various situations. Particularly in Casimir impact settings, with a comment on the dynamical Casimir impact and a focus on its use in quantum hypotheses in bended spaces, which are then applied to gravity hypotheses and cosmology. In this study, the shape required approach for the zeta capability connected to a differential administrator was used to deal with the Laplacian on a surface of transformation. Using the WKB development, we estimated the deposits and benefits of the zeta capability at numerous key locations. The outcomes are consistent with the intensity bit extension's.

Keywords: Zeta Functions, Analytic Theory, and Dirichlet Series

1. INTRODUCTION

This is a very basic and instructive overview of the standards of zeta capability and of the going with zeta regularisation methods, starting mostly with the ideas of consonant series and distinct totals. The application of these convincing approaches for the regularisation of actual amounts, such as quantum vacuum variances in various situations, is demonstrated using startlingly simple models. Particularly in Casimir impact settings, with a comment on the dynamical Casimir impact and a focus on its use in quantum hypotheses in bended spaces, which are then applied to gravity hypotheses and cosmology. In this study, the shape necessary methodology for the zeta capability connected to a differential administrator was used to deal with the Laplacian on a surface of disturbance. Using the WKB extension, we calculated the deposits and benefits of the zeta capability at various key locations. The outcomes line up with those of the extension of the intensity part. We also discovered a closed structure equation for the Laplacian's determinant on such a surface.

In this essay, we focus on the distinctive benefits of the Dirichlet series with the structure

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \qquad \textbf{(1)}$$

If the series combines. These Dirichlet series will frequently be zeta and L-functions that outcome from number theory. The Chowla and Erdos issues and the Chowla-Milnor guesses are two instances of nonexclusive series that can at times result from different contemplations (as we will see later).

The previous illustration of zeta functions might be examined from various points. We prescribe the peruser to various strong compositions, remembering Soul'e and Ramakrishnan for more data for Beilinson'scohomological technique and his expectations in regards to the extraordinary qualities as far as summed up controllers, which is a functioning field of current review. In this clarification, we mean to underscore a more analytical, conventional methodology.

We underscore the significance of unique functions that emerge in our cognizance of these surprising qualities, expanding on Euler's work on the unequivocal assessment of the Riemann zeta capability at even data sources and the capability's contribution in this assessment. The gamma capability, the digamma capability, and the polygamma functions, as well as the logarithm, dilogarithm, and polylogarithm functions, become the overwhelming focus in this subject. Exceptional upsides of explicit Dirichlet series and L-functions share major areas of strength for a with unique upsides of secluded structures. There are likewise appearances of other zeta functions including the Hurwitz zeta capability, the Lerch zeta capability, the numerous zeta functions, and the different Hurwitz zeta functions.

The investigation of Dirichlet series with the structure P n=1 ann's has a long history and traces all the way back to the eighteenth hundred years. This interest is essentially owing to the significant job that these series play in analytical number theory. Among others, Hadamard, Landau, Strong, Riesz, Schnee, and Bohr made the overall theory of Dirichlet series. Be that as it may, the essential discoveries were made before the key ideas of utilitarian examination were remembered for each expert's tool compartment, accordingly it would seem OK to apply this contemporary perspective to the investigation of Dirichlet series. In such manner, some work has recently been finished; we highlight the distributions The field, in any case, didn't seem to get on. It is expected that by featuring various basic unsettled difficulties as well as a few late turns of events, this paper will act as an impetus. Hedenmalm, Lindqvist, and Seip considered a characteristic Hilbert space H2 of Dirichlet series and began a careful investigation of it as of late, in The parts of H2 are half-plane analytic functions.

$$C_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C}: R_{es} > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad \textbf{(2)}$$

Of the structure

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n n^{-s} \quad \textbf{(3)}$$

Where a1, a2, a3, are perplexing numbers that should fulfil the standard roundedness rules

$$||f||\pi^2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty \quad \textbf{(4)}$$

This is the Dirichlet series' partner to the Solid space H2 in a characteristic setting. The pointwise multipliers of H2 were portrayed in and the arrangement was utilized to settle a Beurling issue including 2-occasional expansion bases in L 2 (is refered to as a hotspot for verifiable critique regarding the matter. We should add the right half plane.

$$\mathbb{C}_+ = \{\boldsymbol{s\epsilon}\mathbb{C}: \boldsymbol{Res} > 0\} \quad \textbf{(5)}$$

Notwithstanding the space H of limited analytic functions on C+ that is given by a merged Dirichlet series of the kind (1.1) on a potential far off half-plane Re s > 0. Schnee's hypothesis, which Bohr in this way changed, states that the Dirichlet series for a

Truly hits C+ as capability in H.

The review article (or issue assortment) is reexamined and expanded in this note [16]. This overview ought to be made accessible to a bigger crowd on the grounds that the district has since drawn in more consideration and progress has been accomplished

2. REVIEW OF LITREATURE

In the field of science known as analytical number theory, the circulation of indivisible numbers and other math objects are broke down utilizing analytic methods. This study centers around five basic books in analytic number theory that examine different analytic procedures, especially Dirichlet series and zeta functions, and their reasonable applications.

The "Prologue to Analytic Number Theory" by Apostol offers a careful prologue to the field, including subjects like the Riemann zeta capability, Dirichlet characters and L-functions, and the indivisible number hypothesis. A huge crowd of understudies and researchers will view the work as open because of its clear clarifications and models and elegantly composed design.

The Riemann zeta capability, a vital part of analytic number theory, is the subject of the exemplary composition "Riemann's Zeta Capability" by Edwards. The zeta capability's attributes, like its zeros, dissemination, and relationship to indivisible numbers, are canvassed in extraordinary length in the book. The writer's composing is convincing and insightful, providing the peruser with a careful cognizance of the subject.

The "Analytic Number Theory" by Iwaniec and Kowalski is an exhaustive reference book that covers various subjects in the subject. The book expects a strong groundwork in polynomial math, examination, and number theory and is composed considering graduate understudies and scientists. With an emphasis on the connections between analytic number theory and different parts of math, the creators present a contemporary perspective on the subject.

The primary volume in a two-volume assortment on multiplicative number theory, a subfield of analytic number theory that arrangements with math functions and their qualities, is named "Multiplicative Number Theory I: Old style Theory" by Montgomery and Vaughan. Subjects including strainer methods, outstanding totals, and the appropriation of multiplicative capability values are shrouded in the book. The scholars make the work reasonable to an expansive readership by offering brief defenses and intriguing models.

The well known work "A Course in Math" by Serre offers a complete prologue to number theory, including both logarithmic and analytic components. Measured structures, elliptic bends, and the Riemann-Roch hypothesis are a portion of the subjects canvassed in the book. The book is proper for graduate understudies and scientists and has a rich and exact composing style.

For anyone with any interest in analytical methods in number theory, especially Dirichlet series and zeta functions and their applications in analytic number theory, these five volumes act as an extraordinary presentation and reference. All degrees of understudies and researchers might profit from the profundity and perspective that every message offers, which makes them magnificent assets.

3. MULTIPLE DIRICHLET SERIES

This part gives a prologue to the theory of various Dirichlet series and blueprints the techniques that will be shrouded in resulting sections. See the explanatory works [Bum], [BFH1], and [CFH], as well as their references, for additional references.

While examining most of the issues talked about in the first section, numerous Dirichlet series promptly show up subsequent to utilizing Perron's equation. They especially show up in two associated conditions:

1. Ascertaining the n-level thickness or assessing totals including L-functions, minutes, or proportion guesses.

2. Making gauges for different totals, such S(X, Y) in (1.8.1).

For example, considering the absolute first case in our group of real Dirichlet characters, Perron's equation yields

$$\sum_{d \le X} L(1\backslash 2, Xd) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int A_D\,(1\backslash 2, w).\frac{X^w}{w}\,dw \qquad (6)$$

Where

$$A_D(S, w) = \sum_{d \ge 1} \frac{L(s,xd)}{d^w} \qquad (7)$$

The family defined by essential segregates is alluded to by the addendum D in this passage and ensuing ones. It is currently clear that we could process the vital in (2.0.1) assuming we knew the analytic attributes of AD(s, w). We explicitly need to move the vital as far to one side as we can, subsequently we should find the shafts and buildups of AD(s, w), develop a meromorphic continuation of it, and afterward distinguish its posts. The Bochner's Cylinder Hypothesis and a continuation standard from multivariable complex investigation (see [Boc] and Segment 4.12) can be utilized to exhibit that AD(s, w) (or a connected series) fulfills a few utilitarian conditions and iteratively apply them to the locale of outright union. This creates the continuation number 17. See Area 2 of [Bum] for a phenomenal illustration of this method.

We can see that the practical condition for the whole different Dirichlet series is given by the useful condition for every individual L-capability in the coefficients. Considering that d is a much more essential person with guide d for a major discriminant d 1, we get

$$A_D(S,W)=\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{L(s,xd)}{d^w}=\frac{\pi^{8-1\backslash 2}\tau\left(\frac{1-8}{2}\right)}{\tau\left(\frac{8}{2}\right)}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{L(1-s,xd)}{d^s+w-1\backslash 2} \tag{8}$$

$$=\frac{\pi^{8-1\backslash 2}\tau\left(\frac{1-8}{2}\right)}{\tau\left(\frac{8}{2}\right)}A(1-s,s+w-1\backslash 2)$$

Observe how the guide's consideration in the utilitarian condition of L(s, d) fundamentally altered the way the practical condition of AD(s, w) came to fruition. In the event that we considered, for example, quadratic touches of a more serious level automorphic L-capability, our useful condition would be changed.

By broadening the Dirichlet series and exchanging s, we can likewise get one more accommodating recipe for AD(s, w).

$$A_D(S,w)=\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{L(s,xd)}{d^w}=\sum_{d\geq 1}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)}{d^w n^s}=\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{L_D\left(w,\left(\frac{-}{n}\right)\right)}{n^s} \tag{9}$$

Where

$$L_D(w,x)=\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{x(d)}{d^w}\approx\frac{L(w,x)}{L(2w,X^2)} \tag{10}$$

(remember that the future a correspondence assuming the all out ran over without square numbers, yet this estimate is adequate for our motivations; for an accurate assertion, see Lemma 4.2.23).

As per (2.0.4), AD(s, w) has a post at w = 1 that starts from terms with n =, in which case n is a fundamental person. The utilitarian condition (2.0.3) likewise gives us a second post at w = 3/2 s, which comparatively becomes w = 1 in the situation when s = 1/2. Subsequently, when w = 1, where the significant term for the main moment starts, AD(1/2, w) has a twofold shaft.

Since we would have two basic posts as opposed to a twofold post in the event that we considered a moved second and subbed the point s = 1/2 by s = 1/2 + with a little, nonzero, the computations of the deposits would be unique.

Another explanation the expression (2.0.4) is useful. Yet again you'll see that we could use the utilitarian condition for L assuming the addendum D on the right-hand side of the L-capability weren't there.

Get another practical condition for AD(s, w) by duplicating w by n.

We can attempt to supplant AD(s, w) with A(s, w) characterized to eliminate the addendum.

$$A(s,w) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{L(S,x_n)}{n^w} \tag{11}$$

In where n =we can also consider the different Dirichlet series connected with higher minutes.

$$A_k(S,w) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{L(s,x_n)^k}{n^w} \tag{12}$$

More difficulties, be that as it may, introduce themselves since L(s, n) doesn't fulfill a delightful useful condition since n isn't really a person and regardless of whether it were, it isn't crude 100% of the time.

Knock, Chinta, Friedberg, and Hoffstein [BFH1], [CFH] created strategies to decide the characteristics we might expect A(s, w) having without a trace of these issues. In these heuristics, we expect that all characters with the prefix n are crude with guide n, that the quadratic correspondence holds in the structure n(m) = n(n), and that we don't compose the gamma factors in the practical conditions, bringing about the definition L(s, n) n 1/2sL(1 s, n).

In the event that k 3, these two practical conditions give a limited gathering, empowering us to process the initial three minutes and determine a meromorphic continuation to the whole C k+1. Interestingly, the gathering is limitless for k 4, hence in the two circumstances it just offers a meromorphic continuation to a part of C k+1. Moreover, the polar lines develop, making a bend of vital singularities past which proceeding with Ak(s, w is likely unthinkable). The case from the recipe would be valid in the event that it were feasible to figure the k-th moment at the main issue and get the continuation of Ak(s, w) beyond the point (s, w) = (1/2, 1), as exhibited in [DGH].

4. MEAN VALUE OF REAL DIRICHLET CHARACTERS USING A DOUBLE DIRICHLET SERIES

We research the two-character aggregate.

$$S(X,Y) \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{m\leq X,\\ m\ odd}} \sum_{\substack{n\leq y,\\ n\ odd}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \tag{13}$$

Conrey, Rancher, and Soundararajan examined this total and gave an asymptotic equation that turns out as expected for all huge X and Y in their article, CFS.

The essential part of S(X, Y) gets from terms where n is a square, and the mistake term might be approximated utilizing the Polya-Vinogradov disparity, if Y = o(X/log X). In particular, we gain from this reach,

$S(X,Y) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} XY^{1/2} + o(Y^{3/2}\log Y + Y^{1/2+\varepsilon} + X\ \log Y)$,and also for X = o(Y/log Y)

At the point when X and Y are of equivalent size, S(X, Y) shows an adjustment of conduct, as exhibited by Conrey, Rancher, and Soundararajan. They explicitly showed the legitimacy of the accompanying asymptotic equation for any huge X and Y:

$$S(X,Y) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} X^{3/2} C\left(\frac{Y}{X}\right) + O((XY^{7/16} + YX^{7/16})\log(XY)), \quad (14)$$

Where

$$C(a) = a + a^{3/2} \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \int_0^{1/a} \sqrt{y}\, sin\left(\frac{\pi k^2}{2y}\right) dy. \quad (15)$$

This recipe's primary term is dependably greater than the blunder term since its size is XY 1/2 + Y X1/2. Because of the way that C() is a non-smooth capability, the result is interesting.

See the principal segment in [Pet] and the references in that for a heuristic clarification of why such functions show up in circumstances of this sort.

Also, Conrey, Rancher, and Soundararajan gave the asymptotic appraisals to C() as follows:

$$C(a) = \sqrt{a} + \frac{\pi}{18} \propto^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(a^{\frac{5}{2}}) \;\; as \;\; a \to 0, \quad (16)$$

$$C(a) = a + O(a^{-1}) as\; a \to \infty.$$

Conrey, Rancher, and Soundararajan approximated the amounts of the Gauss aggregates that showed up in the calculation and used the Poisson summation recipe to confirm (3.1.3). Comparable strategies were applied in crafted by Gao and Zhao to decide the mean worth in different person families, including specific quadratic, cubic, and quartic Hecke characters [GaZh1] and cubic and quartic Dirichlet characters [GaZh2].

Gao processed the mean worth of the divisor capability curved by quadratic characters utilizing comparable strategies [Gao].

Utilizing the reverse Mellin change two times, we revamp S(X, Y) as a twofold essential. The twofold Dirichlet series will accordingly be remembered for the essential.

$$A(s,w) = \sum_{m\, odd} \sum_{n\, odd} \frac{\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)}{m^w n^s}, \quad (17)$$

WhichBlomer [Blo] analyzed. He showed that it permitted a meromorphic continuation to the entire C 2 and laid out the polar lines. The commitment of the buildups is then determined subsequent to moving the integrals to one side. Since the zeros of (s) happen in the places of the posts of A(s, w), as well as in the commitment of the deposits, the nature of the mistake part depends on whether we acknowledge the veracity of the Riemann Theory.

The three polar lines from which our fundamental term easily falls into place relate to the square commitment (polar lines s = 1 and w = 1) as well as the progress term where the non-smooth capability enters (polar line s + w = 3/2). This is a charming part of our contention.

It has been laid out by Knock, Chinta, Diaconu, Friedberg, Gold feld, Hoff stein, and others that different Dirichlet series have a more broad theory. We suggest perusing the descriptive articles [Bum], [BFH1], [CFH], the paper [DGH], or the book [BFG] for perusers intrigued by the theory and its applications.

We initially characterize the smooth aggregate to introduce our discoveries.

$$S(X, Y; \varphi, \psi) = \sum_{m,n\ odd} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \varphi(m/X)\psi(n/Y), \qquad (18)$$

Where, upheld in (0, 1),, are nonnegative smooth functions.

The significant result is as per the following on the off chance that we assign by Mf the Mellon change

Theorem 4.1. Let ε > 0. Then, for any big X and Y, we have

$$S(X, Y) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} X^{3/2} . D\left(\frac{Y}{X}\right) + O_\varepsilon(XY^{1/4+\varepsilon} + YX^{1/4+\varepsilon}), \qquad (19)$$

Where

$$D(a) = \sqrt{a} + a - \frac{1}{i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{(3/4)} \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)^8 . \frac{\Gamma\left(s-\frac{3}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\pi 8}{2}\right)\zeta(2s-1)}{s} ds. \qquad (20)$$

Since D() = C() is exhibited in Area 3.7, our essential term is reliable with that of Conrey, Rancher, and Soundararajan.

We should add that working with a reasonable blend of the curved twofold Dirichlet series, as expressed in (3.3), a similar asymptotic might be accomplished given the whole numbers m, n are restricted to live in a coinciding class modulo 8.

4.1 Preliminaries and notation

All suggested constants are allowed to depend on all through the section as will connote an adequately minuscule positive whole number, different each time.

We utilize the [Blo] documentation. We address by m(n) the Kronecker image for the numbers m and n.

$$x_m(n) = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \qquad (21)$$

On the off chance that m is an odd number, compose it as m = m0m2 1 with m0 squarefree. Subsequently, m is a guide character if m 1 (mod 4) and if m 3 (mod 4) and |4m0| in any case.

The four Dirichlet characters modulo 8 given by the Kronecker image j (n) = j n are meant by the numbers 1, 1, 2, and 2. Likewise, we le

$$\tilde{x}_m = \begin{cases} x_{m,} & if\ m \equiv 1(mod\ 4) \\ x -_{m,} & if\ m \equiv 3(mod\ 4) \end{cases} \qquad (22)$$

Quadratic correspondence illuminates us that for odd positive whole numbers m, n utilizing this documentation.

$$x_m(n) = x_n(m) \qquad (23)$$

The essential discriminants m are genuine crude guide |m| characters. In these conditions, the completed L-capability I

$$\Lambda(S, x_m) = \left(\frac{|m|}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{8+a}{2}} \tau\left(\frac{s+a}{2}\right) L(s, xm) \qquad (24)$$

Where the person's equality decides if a = 0 or 1 (i.e., whether m(1) = 1 or 1), and we get the useful condition.

5. CONCLUSION

They exhibit that the Chowla-Milnor guess is legitimate for any q > 1 and k > 1 if the polylog guess is exact. Subsequently, the drive to extend Dough puncher's theory of straight structures in logarithms to direct structures in polylogarithms will immensely affect settling various annoying issues.

In Zagier's meaning of extraordinary qualities, the polylogarithm capability initially shows up. Conversely, the creators of] attach extraordinary zeta and L-capability values to different gamma functions. Also, they research instances of extraordinary subordinate qualities for the Riemann zeta capability and Dirichlet L-functions. There are a few equals between this speculation and others, including the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer guesses. L-series of automorphic L-functions or even direct mixes of these may be thought about to a greater extent. The extent of these roads of request is excessively expansive for this short appraisal to incorporate everything. In any case, we trust that the peruser will be persuaded to additionally investigate this universe of extraordinary qualities and respect its brilliant wonder. With an accentuation on the central thoughts and the methodology's verifiable setting, we have given an extremely fundamental survey of the zeta capability approach in this article. The significant objective was to enhance before books, particularly a portion of the writer's that included numerically exact introductions yet were inadequate with regards to this key yet by the by huge viewpoint. The procedure was then applied in the work for the regularization of actual amounts, for example, quantum vacuum changes, in various situations, continuously utilizing the information acquired from very straightforward cases.The zeta capability regularization technique's authentic setting, which was recently talked about, is the focal point of the paper's most memorable segment. These analytical continuation techniques are thought by quite a few people to be extremely unnatural and unreasonable since one forgets about what's going on all through the numerical computation, as opposed to what occurs with different cycles, specifically with cut-off approaches.

REFERENCES

1. Ahlfors L V 1979 Complex Analysis (New York: McGraw-Hill)
2. Apostol T M 1976 Introduction to Analytic Number Theory (Berlin: Springer)
3. Bender C 2010 Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers: I. Asymptotic Methods and Perturbation Theory (New York: Springer)
4. Bordag M, Klimchitskaya G L, Mohideen U and Mostepanenko V M 2009 Advances in the Casimir Effect (Oxford: Oxford Science Publications)
5. Bordag M, Mohideen U and Mostepanenko V M 2001 New developments in the Casimir effect Phys. Rep. 353 1–205
6. Branson T P and Gilkey P B 1990 theasymptotics of the Laplacian on a manifold with boundary Commun. Partial Differ. Eqns 15 245–72
7. Buchbinder I L, Odintsov S D and Shapiro I L 1992 Effective Action in Quantum Gravity (Bristol: Hilger)
8. Burghelea D, Friedlander L and Kappeler T 1991 On the determinant of elliptic differential and finite difference operators in vector bundles over S1 Commun. Math. Phys. 138 1–18
9. Burghelea D, Friedlander L and Kappeler T 1993 Regularized determinants for pseudo differential in vector bundles over S1 Integral EqnsOper. Theory 16 496–513
10. Burghelea D, Kappeler T, McDonald P and Friedlander L 1994 On the functional logdet and related flows on the space of closed embedded curves on S2 J. Funct. Anal. 120 440–66
11. Bytsenko A A, Cognola G, Vanzo L and Zerbini S 1996 Quantum fields and extended objects in space-times with constant curvature spatial section Phys. Rep. 266 1–126
12. Chavel I 1984 Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry (Pure and Applied Mathematics vol 115) (New York: Academic)
13. Cognola G, Elizalde E and Kirsten K 2001 Casimir energies for spherically symmetric cavities J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 7311–27
14. Coleman S 1977 The fate of the false vacuum: 1. Semiclassical theory Phys. Rev. D 15 2929–36
15. Coleman S, Glaser V and Martin A 1978 Action minima among solutions to a class of Euclidean scalar field equations Commun. Math. Phys. 58 211–21